

APHC010496892023



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 25955/2023

Between:

1. CHANGA SARVESWARUJDU, S/O CHANGA UTTANNA, AGE ABOUT 46 YEARS, OCC. CULTIVATION.
2. SMT. CHANGA TULASAMMA, W/O CHANGA SARVESWARUDU, AGE ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC. CULTIVATION BOTH ARE R/O H.NO.5-68, NALLAIAHGARIPALLI VILLAGE, VTC GONDIPALLE POST, PENDLIMARRI MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIATE BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT AT KADAPA.
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KADAPA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR, CHINTHA KOMMA DINNE (CK.DINNE), POLICE STATION, CK.DINNE, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PENDLIMARRI POLICE STATION, PENDLIMARRI, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
6. CHINNODU, POLICE CONSTABLE, DY.SP.OFFICE, KADAPA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

7. BOJANAPALLI BHARATHI, D/O B.PATTABHI RAMAIAH, AGE 43 YEARS, R/O NEAR USHA KIRAN SHOOL, VEMPALLI VILLAGE AND MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
8. GANGARAPU RAMUDU, S/O VENKATAIAH, AGE 50 YEARS, R/O PYRAMID NAGAR, VEMPALLI VILLAGE AND MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
9. AKKINAGUNTLA VENKATASWAMY, S/O GOVINDU, AGE 55 YEARS, R/O NALLAIAHGARIPALLI VILLAGE, PENDLIMARRI MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
10. CHOUDAM CHOUDAIAH, S/O NARAYANA, AGE 42 YEARS, R/O NALLAIAHGARIPALLI VILLAGE, PENDLIMARRI MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
11. AKKINAGUNTLA BHASKAR, S/O VENKATASWAMY, AGE ABOUT 35 YEARS, R/O NALLAIAHGARIPALLI VILLAGE, PENDLIMARRI MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
12. PAFFA MUNAIAH, S/O JAGANNATHAM, AGE 35 YEARS, R/O SREERAM NAGAR, GANDHI ROAD, VEMPALLI VILLAGE AND MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the official respondents 3 to 6 in calling the petitioners to the police station for settlement of civil dispute without there being any case against them, instead of registering the case against the respondents 6 to 12 basing on the petitioners' complaint, as illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to call the petitioners to the police station for private settlement, further direct the 5th respondent to register the case against the respondents 6 to 12, considering the complaint dated 11-09-2023, and further direct the 2nd respondent to initiate appropriate action against the 6th respondent for threatening the petitiinoers by phone and calling them to the police station for private settlement and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents forthwith not to call the petitioners to the police station, pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.K VISWANATHAM

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“....to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the official respondents 3 to 6 in calling the petitioners to the police station for settlement of civil dispute without there being any case against them, instead of registering the case against the respondents 6 to 12 basing on the petitioners' complaint, as illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to call the petitioners to the police station for private settlement, further direct the 5th respondent to register the case against the respondents 6 to 12, considering the complaint dated 11-09-2023, and further direct the 2nd respondent to initiate appropriate action against the 6th respondent for threatening the petitioners by phone and calling them to the police station for private settlement and to pass...”

2. Heard Sri K.Viswanatham, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri V.Farook, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the police are interfering in the civil disputes.
4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader would submit that the police are not calling the petitioners to the police station and not interfering in the civil dispute.
5. Recording the submission of learned Assistant Government Pleader, this writ petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil dispute between the parties without following due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dated: 08.12.2025
MH

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

259

W.P.No.25955 of 2023

Dt.08.12.2025

MH