



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 25619/2023

Between:

1. IPPILI LAXMINARAYANA, S/O. APPA RAO, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURE, R/A. KANUGULAVANIPETA VILLAGE,
SRIKAKULAM RURAL MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, SRIKAKULAM RURAL POLICE,
SRIKAKULAM, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. EPPLILI APPA RAO, S/O. LATE SANASI, AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS,
R/O. KANUGULAVANIPETA VILLAGE, SRIKAKULAM RURAL
MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the Respondent No.1 in interfering with the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and the Respondent No.3 in respect of the suit schedule property in O.S.No.10/2017 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Srikakulam and consequential pendency of the First Appeal pending before this Hon'ble Court in

A.S.No.154/2022 without following due process of law as arbitrary, illegal and violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No.1 not to interfere with the civil disputes pending the Petitioner and the Respondent No.3 and others over the suit schedule property in O.S.No.10/2017 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Srikakulam without following due process of law in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.1 not to interfere with the civil disputes pending the Petitioner and the Respondent No.3 and others over the suit schedule property in O.S.No.10/2017 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Srikakulam without following due process of law pending disposal of the Writ Petition in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P NAGENDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 25619/2023

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the Respondent No.1 in interfering with the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and the Respondent No.3 in respect of the suit schedule property in O.S.No.10/2017 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Srikakulam and consequential pendency of the First Appeal pending before this Hon'ble Court in A.S.No.154/2022 without following due process of law as arbitrary, illegal and violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No.1 not to interfere with the civil disputes pending the Petitioner and the Respondent No.3 and others over the suit schedule property in O.S.No.10/2017 on the file of the Principal District Judge, Srikakulam without following due process of law in the interest of justice.....”

2. Heard Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, it is a case of interference of police in the civil disputes.

4. Sri P.Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents, on written instructions, brought to the notice of this Court that based on the complaint of one Epplili Appa Rao dated 31.12.2023, the

petitioner was called to the police station. It was further submitted that the petitioner received the notice but refused to acknowledge the same in M.C. No.85 of 2023, which is pending consideration before the Mandal Executive Magistrate, Srikakulam. He further submitted that the police never interfered in the civil disputes between the parties.

4. Recording the same, the writ petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes except under due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated 11.12.2025
KKV

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

213

WRIT PETITION NO: 25619/2023

Dated 11.12.2025
KKV

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE NO.: WRIT PETITION NO: 25619/2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
2.	11.12.2025	<u>Dr.VJP,J</u> The Writ Petition is disposed of. <i>(Vide separate order)</i> _____ Dr.VJP, J <i>KKV</i>	