



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30111/2022

Between:

1. NAGABATHULA GANAPATHIRAO, S/O VENKANNA, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, R/O. 4-10, THUMMALAPALLI SAVARAM MERAKA VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
2. VADDI MOHAN RAO, S/O SAHEB, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, THUMMALAPALLI SAVARAM MERAKA VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE GOV OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KONASEEMA DISTRICT, AMALAPURAM.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
4. THE THUMMALAPALLI GRAMA PANCHAYAT, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
5. KAMIDI YAGANANDAM, S/O KAMIDI VERRIYYA, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, R/O. THUMMALAPALLI VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
6. KAMIDI VENKATESWARA RAO, S/O KAMIDI VERRIYYA, AGED

ABOUT 61 YEARS, R/O. THUMMALAPALLI VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

7.KAMIDI RAMAKRISHNA, S/O KAMIDI VERRIYYA, AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, R/O. THUMMALAPALLI VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

8.JALLI VENKATALAXMI, W/O JALLI VEERA VENKATA SATYANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, R/O. D,NO,1-25, BODASAKURRU VILLAGE, ALLAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

9.GIDLA VIJAYALAXMI, W/O GIDLA KANAKARAO, AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.1- 317, YENUGUPALLI VILLAGE, P.GANNAVARAM MANDAL, KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declaring the action on the part of the respondents moreparticularly respondent No.3 herein, interfering in the civil disputes and threatening the petitioners by implicating and registering the false cases to withdraw the W.P.No.29746/2021 in respect of conduct the survey and enquiry and cancel the fraud registered partition deed No.1380/2018 dated 14-11-2018 in Sy.No.295/6 an extent of Ac.0.66 cents of Gramakantam land of Thummalapalli (V) of the unofficial respondents (5-9) as illegal arbitrary and violative of the Aritical 14, 16,21, and 300A of the constitution of India and consequentially to direct respondents not to threaten the petitioners and intefere in the civil disputes as influenced by the un-official respondents 5 to 9 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct respondent No.3/Station House Officer not to threaten the petitioners and intefere in the civil disputes as influenced by the respondents 5 to 9, pending disposal of the above writ petition, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.BOKKA SATYANARAYANA KAMLA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.A S NARAYANA

2.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**WRIT PETITION NO: 30111/2022****ORDER**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declaring the action on the part of the respondents more particularly respondent No.3 herein, interfering in the civil disputes and threatening the petitioners by implicating and registering the false cases to withdraw the W.P.No.29746/2021 in respect of conduct the survey and enquiry and cancel the fraud registered partition deed No.1380/2018 dated 14-11-2018 in Sy.No.295/6 an extent of Ac.0.66 cents of Gramakantam land of Thummalapalli (V) of the unofficial respondents (5-9) as illegal arbitrary and violative of the Arirical 14, 16,21, and 300A of the constitution of India and consequentially to direct respondents not to threaten the petitioners and interfere in the civil disputes as influenced by the un-official respondents 5 to 9...”

2. Heard Sri B.Satyanarayana, learned Counsel for the Petitioners and Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the relief has become infructuous in this matter.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader is in attendance.

5. Recording the same, the Writ Petition is dismissed since the relief has become infructuous. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

306

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30111/2022

Dt.24.10.2025

JLV