

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

:PRESENT:

**HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR
AND**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI
WRIT PETITION NO: 25629 OF 2025**



Between:

1. Kalyanapu Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Aseervachanam, resident of Door No.2-14-309, 8th lane, Syamala Nagar, Near Railway Track, Guntur, A.P. - 522006
2. Kalyanapu Uma Kumari, W/o. Venkateswara Rao, resident of Door No.2-14-309, 8th lane, Syamala Nagar, Near Railway Track, Guntur, A.P. - 522006

Petitioner

AND

1. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, G.T. Road branch, Srinivsa Towers, Srinivasaraopet, Guntur.
2. The Deputy General Manager, Union Bank of India, Regional Office, G.T. Road, Near ITC, Guntur.
3. Union of India, Rep .by its Secretary, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

Respondent No.3 was impleaded as per c.o.dt.19.09.2025 in W.P.No.25629 of 2025.

Respondent

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly on the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent Bank is illegal, arbitrary, consequently without considering my submission selling away the mortgaged property and confirming the sale within 15 days, which was taken place on 29.08.2025.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent bank not to confirm the sale of notice schedule mentioned property of Item No.1 Dt.17.05.2025 (Sale Notice), an extent of 189 sq. yards of site and building therein in D.No.216/1, which notice Dt.17.05.2025 of Rule - 8(6) of 30 days notice of sale is also is with ambiguity, pending disposal of WP No.25629 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court order dated 19.09.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Venkata Kamalakanth Nadimpalli, Advocate for the Petitioner and Mrs.V.Dyumani, Advocate for the Respondents, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

"Response be filed.

List on 18.11.2025.

Interim order granted earlier shall continue to operate till the next date of hearing."

//TRUE COPY//

**Sd/- K.Kasi Rao Achari
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. VENKATA KAMALAKANTH NADIMPALLI Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. V DYUMANI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two spare copies.

HIGH COURT

HC,J
&
RC,J

DATED: 07/10/2025

LIST ON 18.11.2025

ORDER

WP.No.25629 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

