

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA
WRIT PETITION NO: 25629 OF 2025



Between:

1. Kalyanapu Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Aseervachanam,
 2. Kalyanapu Uma Kumari, W/o. Venkateswara Rao,
- Both are resident of Door No.2-14-309, 8th lane, Syamala Nagar, Near
Railway Track, Guntur, A.P. – 522006.

...Petitioners

AND

1. The Branch Manager, Union Bank of India, G.T.Road branch, Srinivsa Towers, Srinivasaraopet, Guntur.
2. The Deputy General Manager, Union Bank of India, Regional Office, G.T. Road, Near ITC, Guntur.
3. Union of India, Rep. by its Secretary, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

(Respondent No.3 was impleaded as per c.o.dt.19.09.2025 in
W.P.No.25629 of 2025.)

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly on the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent Bank is illegal, arbitrary, consequently without considering my submission selling away the mortgaged property and confirming the sale within 15 days, which was taken place on 29.08.2025.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent bank not to confirm the sale of notice schedule mentioned property of Item No.1 Dt.17.05.2025 (Sale Notice), an extent of 189 sq. yards of site and building therein in D.No.216/1, which notice Dt.17.05.2025 of Rule – 8(6) of 30 days notice of sale is also is with ambiguity, pending disposal of WP 25629 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated 19.09.2025, 07.10.2025 , 12.12.2025 & 09.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Venkata Kamalakanth Nadimpalli, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Sri D.Sudheer Kumar, Standing Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Learned arguing counsel for petitioner is stated to be in personal difficulty on account of his son's medical treatment.

At request, adjourned to 15.04.2026.

Interim order granted earlier to continue till next date of hearing only.

**SD/-A.VIJAYA BABU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Section Officer, New filing Section, High Court of A.P., Amaravati.
2. One CC to Sri Venkata Kamalakanth Nadimpalli, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri D.Sudheer Kumar, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
4. One spare copy.

KSR

HIGH COURT

LG, J

&

NJS, J

DATE:01.04.2026

adjourned to 15.04.2026.

ORDER

WP.No.25629 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

