

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: CrI.P.No.9719 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
09.	07.05.2026	<u>Dr.VJP, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Ms.M.S.V.S.Sudha Rani, learned counsel for the Petitioners / A.1 and A.2 would submit that, in the order dated 23.02.2026 in CrI.P.No.9719 of 2025, at Paragraph No.4, it was mistakenly mentioned that Crime No.41 of 2025 of I Town Police Station, Visakhapatnam and Crime No.161 of 2025 of Arilova Police Station were registered against the Accused Nos.1 and 2. But, A.2 is not an Accused in Crime No.161 of 2025. Hence, the same may be rectified in the order. Ms.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Asst.Public Prosecutor reported 'no objection'. Considering the submissions made, this petition is allowed. Office is directed to replace the following at 7th Line of Para No.4, in the order dated 23.02.2026 in CrI.P.No.9719 of 2025 and issue fresh copy of the order. ".....He further submits that, on complaints lodged by two other victims, crimes were registered against the petitioners in Cr.No.41 of 2025 of I Town Police Station, Visakhapatnam and Cr.No.161 of 2025 of Arilova Police Station, wherein they were granted regular bail and have been strictly comply with the conditions imposed by the Court". with the following "He further submits that, on the complaints lodged by two other victims,	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		Cr.No.41 of 2025 on the file of I Town Police Station, Visakhapatnam has been registered against Accused Nos.1 and 2 and Cr.No.161 of 2025 of Arilova Police Station, has been registered against Accused No.1 wherein they were granted regular bail and have been strictly comply with the conditions imposed by the Court”. Office is also directed to replace the following at 4th Line of Para No.6. “.... and Crime No.161 of 2025 of Arilova Police Station.....” with the following “... and Petitioner No.1 in Cr.No.161 of 2025 of Arilova Police Station.....” <u>Dr.VJP, J</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2026</u> Ms.M.S.V.S.Sudha Rani, learned counsel for the Petitioners / A.1 and A.2 would submit that the Petitioner No.1 has to attend before another Police Station in another crime and Petitioner No.2 has to attend the needs of her mentally disabled son. Hence, the condition of their appearance before the SHO concerned, imposed in Order dated 23.02.2026 in Crl.P.No.9719 of 2025, may be relaxed. Ms.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Asst.Public Prosecutor would submit that the Court may pass appropriate orders. Considering the submissions made, the condition of appearance of Petitioner No.2	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		before the Station House Officer concerned is relaxed. The condition of appearance of Petitioner No.1, is hereby modified. Petitioner No.1 shall appear before the SHO, concerned twice in a month i.e., on 2nd and 4th Sundays. Except this, the remaining conditions in the said Order hold good. _____ Dr.VJP, J <i>Note: Issue C.C by tomorrow B/o. Dinesh</i>	