



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 852/2023

Between:

1.K.MOGHILLESWARI, D/O K.RAMAIHA, PEDDAPODU CHERNU VILLAGE, VEDURUKUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT BEING DEAF AND DUMB REPRESENTED BY NEXT FRIEND K.PRAKASH, S/O K.KRISHNAIAH, DR.NO.1-26, IRUVARAMPALLI, IRALA, CHITTOOR, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMAVARATHI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.K RAMESH, S/O K.DHARMAIAH, AGE ABOUT 43 YEARS

3.KUNTRAPAKAM VENU, , AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS.

4.KUNTRAPAKAM LEELADRI NEELADRI, S/O RAMESH

5.KUNTRAPAKAM DHARMAIAH, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, S/O LATE PEDDA CHENGAIHA

6.A RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS, S/O LATE NARASIMHA REDDY, D.NO.5-11, AMUDHALAPALLI VILLAGE, KATTAKINDA VILLAGE, RC PURAM MANDAL

7.P VENKATESH, S/O P.,CHENGAI RAYAN, AGED 42 YEARS, PERUMALLAPALLI VILLAGE, @ PORAKAPALLI VILLAGE, VEDURUKUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.AISHWARYA NAGULA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

2.V SUDHAKAR REDDY

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 852 of 2023****Order:**

This Criminal Revision Case, under Sections 397 and 401 Cr.P.C./ Sections 438 r/w 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS'),, has been filed by the petitioner/victim, who is daughter of *de facto* complainant, seeking to set aside the order dated 06.07.2023 passed in CrI.M.P.No.349 of 2023 in C.C.No.196 of 2017 by the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Puttur.

2. Heard Ms. Aishwarya Nagula, learned counsel for the petitioner; learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 to 7; and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for Respondent No.1/State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, without causing prejudice to the rights and contentions of both parties, the revision may be disposed of by issuing a direction to the trial Court to decide the issue relating to the amount freezed in the account pertaining to the petitioner at the time of pronouncement of judgment in the main case.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 7 too concurs with the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petition may be disposed of without causing any prejudice to the rights and contentions of either party.

6. Accordingly, considering the submissions made on both sides, the Criminal Revision Case is disposed of, directing the learned Magistrate to take an appropriate decision with regard to the freezed amount in the account pertaining to petitioner/victim in C.C.No.196 of 2017 on the file of the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Puttur, at the time of disposal of the main case.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 17.02.2026
ARB

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 852/2023

Date: 17.02.2026

ARB