



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3460]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 20643/2013

Between:

Lalam Bhavani,

...PETITIONER

AND

The District Collector and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KAMBHAMPATI RAMESH BABU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

W.P.No.20643 of 2013

O R D E R:

1. The Writ Petition is filed questioning the endorsement dated 11.07.2013 issued by the Respondent No.3 vide L.Pari.No.408/2013/C pending enquiry as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The Petitioner was issued a Community Certificate consisting of BC-D (Koppulavelama) on 10.07.2013. Later, the Tahsildar, Atchyutapuram Mandal, cancelled the Community Certificate issued earlier on the ground that the Petitioner is not a resident of Dibbapalem Special Economic Zone (SEZ), Atchyutapuram Mandal, but actually a resident of Door No. 4-4-3/3, Narasingarao Peta, Anakapalli Municipality, Anakapalli, and had obtained a Community Certificate in Anakapally, and therefore, the Community Certificate issued in favour of the Petitioner was cancelled.

3. In the Writ Affidavit, it is stated that the Petitioner is the resident of SEZ Colony, Dibbapalem Village, Atchutapuram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, and therefore, the cancellation of the Community Certificate was not warranted.

4. In the Counter Affidavit filed by the Respondent No.3, it is stated that the proposals were submitted to the District Collector to cancel the

Community Certificate issued in favour of the Petitioner vide R.C.No.408/2013/C/ Dt. 06.08.2013 and orders are awaited.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that there are no instructions from the Petitioner regarding the decision rendered by the District Collector pursuant to the proposal for cancellation.

6. Learned Assistant Government Pleader also submits that there are no instructions.

7. Considering the peculiar scenario, the Writ Petition is closed, leaving it open to the Petitioner to reagitate the issue if need be and subject to the decision of the District Collector. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Petition shall stand closed

Date: 29.01.2026
eha

NYAPATHY VIJAY, J

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 20643/2013

Dt. 29.01.2026

eha