



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3209]**

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 5352/2023**

**Between:**

1. K REDDAPPA REDDY, , S/O K. CHINNA REDDANNA, AGED 58 YEARS,OCC. GOVT. TEACHER R/O D.NO. 7-156, LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
2. R. VENKATA KRISHNA,, S/O NARAYANA, AGED 32 YEARS, OCC. MANAGER, CANARA BANK, R/O PLOT NO. 16, NEW HOUSE,LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
3. V. SREENIVASA REDDY, ,S/O V. BRAMHA REDDY, AGED 56 YEARS, OCC. ASST. AUDIT OFFICER, R/O 7-160, LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
4. T. VIJAYA KUMAR,, S/O R THANGARAJ, AGED46 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURISTAND BUSINESS, R/O D.NO. 7-158, LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
5. M. KODANDA RAMA MURTHY,, S/O M. LAKSHMIKANTHA RAO, AGED 59 YEARS, OCC. DISTRICT MANAGERNREDCAP LTD., R/O D.NO. 7-154, LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
6. V. VISWANATHA REDDY,, S/O SRI NARAYANA REDDY, AGED 49 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURIST, R/O KURUGANIPALLI (V)NARAPALA (M), ANANTAPUR DISTRICT HAVING PLOT NO. 20,

LAKSHMI NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD,  
ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

7. DR. E. CHANDRAYUDU,, S/O GOPI NAIK, AGED 48 YEARS, OCC.  
PRINCIPAL, AGRICULTURE RESEARCH SCIENTIST, R/O D.NO. 1-  
1125-25, LIC STREET, NEAR RTC BUSSTAND, KADIRI, SRI  
SATHYA SAI DISTRICT HAVING PLOT NO. 60, LAKSHMI  
NARASIAH COLONY NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR  
TOWN AND DISTRICT.
8. K. BHASKAR REDDY,, S/O K. NARAYANA REDDY, AGED 65  
YEARS, OCC. LECTURER, R/O PLOT NO 32,LAKSHMI  
NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR  
TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
9. K. PURENSH BABU,, S/O S/O K. LAKSHMIPATHI, AGED 32 YEARS,  
OCC. CONTRACTOR R/O D.NO. 5-146,LAKSHMI NARASIAH  
COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR TOWN,  
ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
- 10.V. RAMESH BABU, , S/O V. RAMAIAH, AGED 57 YEARS, OCC.  
CONTRACTOR AND CIVIL ENGINEER, R/O D.NO. 7-155, LAKSHMI  
NARASIAH COLONY, NEAR HOUSING BOARD, ANANTAPUR  
TOWN, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

- 1.MUTHYAL REDDY, S/O. NOT KNOWN, PANCHAYATH SECRETARY,  
ANANTAPUR RURAL PANCHAYATH, OLD CAMPUS, VIDYARANYA  
NAGAR, NEAR JNTU ENGINEERING COLLEGE, ANANTAPUR  
DISTRICT.
- 2.S VIJAYA BHASKAR, SO S ANKALAPPA AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS  
OCC EMPLOYEE RO D NO 28-1-961 VENKATESWARA NAGAR  
ANANTAPURAM RURAL ANANTAPUR TOWN AND DISTRICT  
RESPONDENT NO 2 IS IMPEADED AS PER COURT ORDER  
DATED 14 11 2024 VIDE I A NO 1 OF 2024 IN CC NO 5352 OF 2023

**...CONTEMNOR(S):**

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying  
that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may

be pleased to punish the respondent herein under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for deliberately disobeying the order of this Hon'ble Court passed in W.P. No. 16110 OF 2023 dated 06.07.2023 by not obeying the same and for his conduct in bringing down the dignity and majesty of this Honble Court and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2024**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to implead the petitioner here in as Respondent No. 02 in the Contempt Petition CC No.5352 of 2023 in WP No 16110 of 2023 and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1.P NARAHARI BABU

**Counsel for the Contemnor(S):**

1.G VENKATA REDDY

2.SHAIK SALMA SULTHANA

**The Court made the following:**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard learned counsel for the respondents.

2. This Court while directing notice to the respondents in W.P No.16110 of 2023 by orders dated 06.07.2023, granted interim direction to the effect that the respondent No.6 therein/Anantapur Rural Gram Panchayat, Anantapur Rural, shall ensure that no construction activity is proceeded with, in the subject matter land, in the absence of the building permission of the Gram Panchayat.

3. The respondent No.1 herein filed reply-affidavit dated 02.11.2023, wherein it is *inter alia* stated, in paragraph-4, that the respondent No.2 had obtained building permission from the concerned Anantapuramu–Hindupur Urban Development Authority (AHUDA) officials on 28.05.2023. The reply-

affidavit also mentions that no construction activity is going on in the subject premises. Be that as it may.

4. As no rejoinder is filed denying the said aspects and as the directions dated 06.07.2023 are unambiguous, this Court is not inclined to continue the present proceedings.

5. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is closed. Needless to observe that the petitioner may raise all contentions with regard to the construction activity being undertaken by the respondent No.2 in the writ petition. No costs. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA**

Date:07.08.2025  
Ksj