



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 24816 OF 2025

Between:

Yeddula Harinatha Reddy, S/o. Late Y.B. Narayana Reddy, Aged 61 years,
Occ Deputy Superintendent of Police, Police Training College,
Ananthapuramu.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, at Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Director General of Police, A.P., At Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3. The Inspector General of Police (Sports), Inquiry Officer A.P. Police Head Quarters, Mangalagiri.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of Respondent No. 2 in issuing the Memorandum of Articles of Charges in Rc.No. 319/2002/2007, dated 02.05.2024 of 2nd respondent to the extent of Charge No. 1 which is a Charge covered under Charge Sheet in CC No. 19 of 2024, on the file of the Hon'ble Special Judge for SPE and ACB cases at Kurnool, alleging that the petitioner is in possession of assets disproportionate to his known source of income to a tune of Rs.2,15,07,877/- for the check period from 08.11.2000 to 27.10.2017 being bad, illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, which is contrary to rule 20 of CCA rules, besides offends Articles 14 and 16 of

Constitution of India and consequentially seeking to set aside the same to the extent of Charge No. 1 which is subject matter in CC No. 19 of 2024 on the file of the Special Judge for SPE and ACB cases at Kurnool.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in pursuance of Memorandum of articles of charges in Rc.No.319/2002/2007, dated 02.05.2024 of 2nd respondent to the extent of Charge no.1, Pending disposal of WP 24816 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI V V N NARASIMHAM Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP FOR SERVICES I for the Respondents and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

“Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has challenged the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner and the disciplinary proceedings would refer to list of documents and witnesses, which are identical to that in C.C.No.19 of 2024, pending on the file of the learned Special Judge for SPE & ACB cases at Kurnool, wherein the petitioner is facing allegations of possessing assets disproportionate to his known sourced of income.

It is further submitted by the learned Senior Counsel that when the criminal proceedings are pending and the facts, relating to the criminal case and the disciplinary proceedings are one and the same and when the witnesses cited in the criminal case and the documents referred to in the criminal case are identical with that of the list of witnesses cited in the disciplinary proceedings and list of documents enlisted in the disciplinary proceedings are one and the same and the disciplinary proceedings would have to be stayed till completion of trial.

Reliance is placed on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the nature of *STANZEN TOYOTETSU INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED Vs. GIRISH V. AND OTHERS*¹, wherein it is held that when the facts and evidence in both the proceedings, namely the departmental proceedings and the criminal case, are the same, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had stayed the departmental proceeding pending consideration of the criminal case.

On the facts of this case, the facts are similar and identical, list of witnesses are identical, list of documents are also identical in both the proceedings.

On these considerations, the impugned proceeding shall stand suspended.

Post on 07.07.2026 for filing of the counter affidavit.”

//TRUE COPY/

SD/- M.VENKATESWARA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, at Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Director General of Police, A.P., At Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3. The Inspector General of Police (Sports), Inquiry Officer A.P. Police Head Quarters, Mangalagiri. (Addressee Nos.1 to 3 By Special Messenger)
4. One CC to SRI V V N NARASIMHAM Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR SERVICES I, High Court Of A.P. [OUT]
6. One spare copy

¹ (2014) 3 SCC 636

HIGH COURT

HN,J

DATED:06/05/2026

POST ON 07.07.2026 FOR FILING OF THE COUNTER AFFIDAVIT

ORDER

WP.No.24816 of 2025

SUSPENSION

