



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 25127/2024

Between:

1. VEGESNA VENKATA RAMARAJU, S/O. SURPARAJU, AGED 31 YEARS, OCC CONTRACTOR, R/O. D.NO. 4-58, VEGESNA VARI STREET, OPP ANDHRA BANK, YANDAGANDI VILLAGE, UNDI MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, THUIUR MANDAL, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, THUIUR MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

3. THE COMMISSIONER, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, NH-16, TADEPALLI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

4. THE ENGINEER IN CHIEF, PANCHAYAT RAJ, Z.P. COMPOUND, OPPOSITE TO PWD GROUNDS, M.G. ROAD, VIJAYAWADA.

5. THE DIRECTOR OF WORKS AND ACCOUNT OFFICER, NIDHI BHAVAN, MANGALAGIRI IT PARK, BEHIND APIIC BUILDING, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

6. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, BHIMAVARAM.

- 7.SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, PANCHAYAT RAJ CIRCLE, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, BHIMAVARAM.
- 8.EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, PANCHAYAT RAJ (PRI) DIVISION, NARSAPUR, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 9.THE YANDAGANDI GRAM PANCHAYAT, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, YANDAGANDI VILLAGE, UNDI MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order, or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the action of the respondents in withholding the payment of balance of Rs.86,392/- even after finalizing the bills, payable to the Petitioner in relation to the work executed by the Petitioner i.e.. Completion of incomplete of Approach Road Grama Sachivalayam-II in Yandagandi Village of Undi Mandal, West Godavari District through M.Book No. 4521/GP/15-16 for an amount of Rs.86,392/- as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider for payment of amount of Rs.86,392/- to the petitioner in respect of execution of above-mentioned work forthwith and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider for payment of amount of Rs.86,392/- to the petitioner in respect of execution of work i.e.. Completion of incomplete of Approach Road Grama Sachivalayam-II in Yandagandi Village of Undi Mandal, West Godavari District through M.Book No. 4521/GP/15-16 for an amount of Rs.86,392/- forthwith pending disposal of the main Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.RAMBABU KOPPINEEDI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2. Yarraguntla.Koteswara Rao, Standing Counsel For Zilla Praja Parishads, Mandal Praja Parishads and Gra

3. GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following:

ORDER

The above writ petition is filed to declare the action on the part of the respondents in not releasing the balance bill amount of Rs.86,392/- in relation to the work executed by the petitioner i.e. "Completion of incomplete of approach Road Grama Sachivalayam-II in Yandagandi village of Undi Mandal, West Godavari District, as illegal arbitrary

2. Today when the matter is taken up for consideration, Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Sri Y.Koteswara Rao, learned standing counsel for the 9th respondent, would submit that an amount of Rs.78,517/- towards full and final settlement was paid to the petitioner *vide* CFMS Bill ID No.2024-1928264 dated 10.12.2024 and the petitioner also acknowledged receipt of the said amount.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly endorses receipt of the amount by the petitioner.

4. Since the entire amount has been paid to the petitioner, nothing remains in this writ petition for adjudication and the writ petition has now rendered infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is Dismissed as Infructuous. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI