



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 7187/2021

Between:

1. POTHULA USHA RANI,, W/O. KALYAN, AGED 56 YEARS. DOOR NO.19-1-345, BANK COLONY, 3RD ROAD LINE, LIC BACK SIDE, PEDDAPURAM - 533 437, PEDDAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI. DISTRICT,
2. POTHULA KALAYAN,, S/O. VEERABBAI, AGED 62 YEARS. DOOR NO.19-1-345, BANK COLONY, 3RD ROAD LINE, LIC BACK SIDE. PEDDAPURAM - 533 437, PEDDAPURAM EAST GODAVARI. DISTRICT,
3. POTHULA PRIYANKA,, D/O. KALYAN, AGED 34 YEARS, PLOT NO.A-5, LIC STAFF QUARTERS, NEAR POLAMAMBA TEMPLE, PEDA WALTAIR, VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN, LB COLONY, VISAKHAPATNAM,
4. KUNCHE KISHORE KUMAR,, S/O. RAJARAO, AGED 40 YEARS PLOT NO.52, INDIRA NAGAR, GACHIBOWLI, NEAR SENDRIYA VIHAR, K.V. RANGAREDDY, TELANGANA STATE.
5. VAVILAPALLI SANTOSH @ SATYAVATI BROTHER, S/O. V. APPALA SURI, AGED 20 YEARS, DOOR NO.1-150, OFFICIAL COLONY PONDURU, PONDURU MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH,
6. VAVILAPALLI VENKATA RAO @ SATYAVATI FAMILY, S/O, V. VENKATA RAO, AGED 50 YEARS, DOOR NO. 1-150, OFFICIAL COLONY, PONDURU, PONDURU MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH,
7. VAILAPALLI KALYANI, @ SATYAVATI FAMILY, W/O. VENKATA RAO,

AGED 45 YEARS, DOOR NO.1-150, OFFICIAL COLONY, PONDURU,
PONDURU MANDAL, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, (S.H.O NARSARAOPET II TOWN POLICE STATION , NARASARAOPET, GUNTUR DISTRICT) REP. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, GUNTUR.
- 2.POTHULU JYOTHI LAKSHMI, W/O. VIKARAM, AGE 35YEARS, NEAR ANJANEYASWAMY TEMPLE,.BARAMPET, NARASARAOPET TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

- 1.MARELLA RADHA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

- 1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)
- 2.JUPUDI V K YAGNADUTT

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

No representation for the Petitioners.

Sri A.Sai Rohith, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, on instructions, submits that in the present matter, a chargesheet has already been laid in S.C.No.166 of 2022.

Considering that four years have elapsed since the filing of the chargesheet and the Petitioners have not sought amendment of the prayer, it appears that the Petitioners are either not interested in prosecuting the matter

or that the parties may have arrived at a settlement before the learned Trial Court.

In that view of the matter, the Criminal Petition is disposed of granting liberty to the Petitioners to challenge the chargesheet, if they are so advised, and if the same is still pending consideration before the learned Trial Court. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dt: 17.02.2026
VTS