



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA**

WRIT PETITION No.29015 OF 2021

Between:

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.
2. The Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh.
3. The District Educational Officer, Srikakulam Srikakulam District.
4. The Mandal Educational Officer, Saravakota Mandal, Srikakulam District.
5. The Deputy Director,, District Treasury Office, Srikakulam District

...Petitioners

AND

P Ravi Prasada Rao, S/o Ponduru Dalayya, Aged about 39 years , Working as S.G. Teacher, M.P.P. School, Sivarampuram Village, Venkatapuram Post, Saravakota Mandal, Srikakulam District. R/o Flat No.404, Balaji Residency, New Colony, Srikakulam - 532 001.

...Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioners : The G.P. for Services III

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr.Thota Ramakoteswara Rao

The Court made the following **ORDER**: (per Hon'ble NJS,J)

Heard learned Assistant Government Pleader for Services-II and also learned counsel for the respondent.

2. Aggrieved by the orders dated 24.3.2015 in O.A. No.7167 of 2014 passed by the erstwhile Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Hyderabad, the State filed the present writ petition.

3. The respondent-applicant filed the said O.A., challenging the Proceedings dated 18.10.2014 and the consequential Proceedings dated 23.10.2014, wherein the pay fixation of the applicant was revised and an amount of Rs.2,59,643/- was sought to be recovered from his salary in instalments. The learned Tribunal while observing that in view of Rule 6(15) of G.O.Ms.No.95, Finance (FR.II) Department, dated 03.4.2012 and Sub Rule (15) under Rule 19 of the Fundamental Rules, the applicant is entitled to fixation of pay not limiting his advance increments, allowed the O.A., by following the orders dated 14.3.2014 in O.A. No.6823 of 2013.

4. At the time of considering the matter, learned counsel for the respondent placed copies of the order dated 31.8.2023 in Writ Petition No.9586 of 2015 passed by a Division Bench of the High Court of Telangana, and the order dated 23.4.2025 in Writ Petition No.38240 of 2015 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, for perusal. From a reading of the order in W.P.No.9856 of 2015, it is discernible that the State filed the said writ petition against the order passed by the A.P.A.T., in O.A. No.6823 of 2013 and the same was upheld by the High Court. The learned Assistant Government Pleader has not placed any material to show that the order in O.A. No.6823 of 2013, which was upheld by the Division Bench, was reversed by the Apex Court. Further, a Coordinate Bench of this Court in similar circumstances dismissed the writ petition filed by the State vide orders dated 23.4.2025 in W.P. No.38240 of 2015.

5. In the light of the undisputed position, more particularly as the orders in O.A. No.6823 of 2013, by following which the present order under challenge came to be passed, was upheld, the present writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

NINALA JAYASURYA,J

TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA,J

August 05, 2025
vasu