

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

**MAIN CASE No: W.P.Nos. 22390, 1508, 21105, 22518, 24466 of 2024,
9941, 16544, 17458, 19726, 21584 & 21679 of 2025**

PROCEEDING SHEET

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
	14.10.2025	<u>RNT,J & MRK,J</u> The final hearing of these matters is continued. 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance on the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench dated 31.07.2024 in W.P.No.32917 of 2022 & 36206 of 2022, against which SLP was also dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, to contend that the petitioners stand on the same footing and entitled to the same benefit. A Co-ordinate Bench has directed regularisation of the contract employees of that writ petitions. 3. To the aforesaid contention, learned Standing Counsels for High Court submitted that the relevant provision of Section 7 of the Andhra Pradesh (Regulation of Appointments to Public Services and Rationalisation of Staff Pattern and Pay Structure) Act, 1994 (for short "Act No.2 of 1994") was not taken note of and the judgment of the Telangana High Court on which the reliance was placed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was inapplicable. They submit that the Act No.2 of 1994 was amended in the State of Telangana. There, Section 10A, provided for the	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		Regularisation of Services of persons appointed on contract basis but any such provision does not exist in the Act No.2 of 1994 for the State of Andhra Pradesh. So, in their submission the petitioners are not entitled to claim benefit of the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench. 4. Learned Standing Counsels for the High Court further submit that many of the petitioners were appointed on contract basis pursuant to the notification whereas many were appointed on contract basis on their application without there being any notification. 5. Learned Standing Counsels shall submit the synopsis with a list containing the names of those petitioners, who were appointed on the contract basis pursuant to the notification and those who were appointed otherwise. 6. Learned counsels for the petitioners shall also submit synopsis with a list containing the names of the petitioners, who have applied pursuant to the recruitment notification; the names of the petitioners who did not apply, and also the names of those petitioners, who applied and appeared in the written examination. 7. Post on 04.11.2025. 8. Learned Standing Counsels for High Court submits that the written examination is over but it will take some time to declare the result. 9. Since, we are in the midst of the hearing of the matter which is now posted for 04.11.2025,	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		we provide that till the next date of listing, the result of the written examination shall not be declared. RNT,J MRK,J AG	