

APHC010468432008



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3560]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 551/2008

Between:

1. BAPATI KONDA REDDY, S/O. B.KRISHNA REDDY, AGRICULTURIST, R/O. JAMMALAPALEM, JALADANKI MANDAL, NELLORE DISTRICT.
2. BAPATI KRISHNA REDDY, S/O. LATE. MALA KONDA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, OCC; AGRICULTURIST.
3. BAPATI JAYAMMA, W/O. BAPATI KRISHNA REDDY, AGED 58 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE.
4. BAPATI SUJATHA, W/O. LATE KONDA REDDY, AGED 40 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE.
5. BAPATI KRISHNAVENI, D/O. LATE KONDA REDDY, AGED 19 YEARS.
6. BAPATI ROHINI, D/O. LATE KONDA REDDY, AGED 17 YEARS, BEING MINOR REP. BY HER MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN BAPATI SUJATHA.

ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF JAMMALAPALEM VILAGE, JALADANKI MANDAL, NELLORE DISTRICT.

AS PETITIONER DIED, LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES OF PETITIONER ARE BROUGHT ON RECORD AS PETITIONERS NO.2 TO 6 AS PER COURT ORDER DATED 21.07.2022 IN I.A.NO.1 OF 2022.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. STATE OF A P REP BY PP AND 3 OTHERS, REP.BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF A.P., HYDERABAD
2. THE MANDAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE AND TAHSILKAR, JALADANKI, NELLORE DISTRICT.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, JADANKI POLICE STATION, NELLORE DISTRICT.
4. GUDA BALI REDDY, S/O. MALAKONDA REDDY, R/O. D.NO. 54-14/08-14A , BHARATIYA NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA,

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to present this Memorandum of Criminal Revision Case aggrieved by the Orders of the Mandal Executive Magistrate and Tahsildar, Jaladanki passed in RC.B/367/2007 dated 03.04.2008 and praying the Hon'ble Court to call for the records relating to the above orders and to set aside the same

IA NO: 1 OF 2008(CRLRCMP 754 OF 2008)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to harvest the paddy crop standing on the land admeasuring AC.9.03 cents comprised in Sy.No. 1949, 1950/1, 1950/2 and 1950/3 of Hanumakondapalem H/o. Brahmanakraka Village, Jaladanki Mandal, Nellore District

IA NO: 2 OF 2008(CRLRCMP 755 OF 2008)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the proceedings in RC.B/367/2007 dated 03.04.2008 on the file of the Mandal Executive Magistrate and Tahsildar, Jaladanki

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased condone the delay of 3209 days in filing the petition to bring the petitioners as L. Rs. of the revision petitioner in the above CRLRC.No.551/2008 and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioners to come on record as LR's of revision petitioner by impleading us as petitioner No's. 2 to 6 in the above Crl.RC. 551/2008

IA NO: 3 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant leave to the petitioners to file LR petition to come on record as LR's of Petitioner No.1 and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V SUDHAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 551/2008****ORDER :**

1. Being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with an Order passed by the Mandal Executive Magistrate and Tahsildar, Jaladanki, in a proceeding under Section 145 of Criminal Procedure Code (for short Cr.P.C), the instant Criminal Revision Case (for short Crl.R.C) has been preferred.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners argued that the impugned Order revealed, the Revenue Officer concerned has harvested the crop in question over the disputed land. At present, learned Counsel for the Petitioners seeks necessary Order, so that the crop which was cultivated by the predecessor of the Petitioners, may get relief in terms of the money for the crop.

3. It appears that the impugned Order was passed in the year 2008, the instant Crl.R.C., is pending since the year 2008. At this juncture, the illegality or impropriety of the impugned Order cannot be questioned, as the Order has already been complied with by the concerned authority.

4. At this juncture, I find no justification to interfere with the Order, after so many days, as the entire proceedings has been lapsed after six (6) months of the date of the impugned Order.

5. However, the petitioners being the legal representatives of the original petitioner, is at leave to seek for necessary relief before the appropriate authority according to Law. On their prayer, the concerned authority, shall decide

their prayer and dispose of the same within eight (8) weeks from the date of their application.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is disposed of.

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

Dated 03.12.2025
KS1

210

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 551/2008

DATED:03.12.2025.

KS1