



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 24175/2023

Between:

- 1.N PRAVEEN KUMAR REDDY, S/O.N.REDDAPA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. BDIDAVEDU KASPA, EGUVA BUDIDAVEDU VILLAGE, VALMIKIPURAM MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
- 2.K. SADA SIVA REDDY,, S/O.K. MUNIRATNAM REDDY, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. THENEPALLE KASPA, H/O. THIMMIREDDIGARIPALLE VILLAGE, PUTHALAPATTU MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, RAYACHOTY.
- 3.THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, RAYACHOTY.
- 4.THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PILER URBAN POLICE STATION, PILER, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
- 5.THE TAHSILDAR, PILER MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
- 6.CHICHILI VENKATA REDDY, S/O. C.NARSA REDDY, AGED ABOUT

55 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. MUDUKULA VEMULAVARIPALLE,
H/O. ERAGUNTAPALLE VILLAGE, PILER MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA
DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring by the action of the respondent No.4 in interfering with rights of the petitioner over the premises situated in the land in an extent of Ac.0.25 cents out of Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.663-1 of Eraguntlapalle Village Fields, Hto. Eraguntlapalle, Piler Mandal. Annamayya District, at the instance of the respondent No.6 as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and contrary to the well established legal principles apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioners under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondent No.4 not to interfere with rights of the petitioners over the premises in an extent of Ac.0.25 cents out of Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.663-1 of Eraguntlapalle Village Fields, M. Eraguntlapalle, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.4 not to interfere with the rights of the petitioners over the premises in an extent of Ac.0.25 cents out of Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.663-1 of Eraguntlapalle Village Fields, H/o. Eraguntlapalle, Piler Mandal. Annamayya District, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.V R REDDY KOVVURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 24175/2023

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring by the action of the respondent No.4 in interfering with rights of the petitioner over the premises situated in the land in an extent of Ac.0.25 cents out of Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.663-1 of Eraguntlapalle Village Fields, Hto. Eraguntlapalle, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District, at the instance of the respondent No.6 as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and contrary to the well established legal principles apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioners under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondent No.4 not to interfere with rights of the petitioners over the premises in an extent of Ac.0.25 cents out of Ac.0.46 cents in Sy.No.663-1 of Eraguntlapalle Village Fields, M. Eraguntlapalle, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District and pass.....”

2. Heard Sri V.R.Reddy Kovvur, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home on written instructions would submit that the basing on the complaint of Murali Mohan Reddy dated 20.08.2023, the respondent-police called the petitioner for preliminary enquiry only. He would further submit that after preliminary enquiry, it is found that the subject matter is purely civil in nature and the police are not interfering in the

civil disputes between petitioner and the unofficial respondents. He further would submit that no crime has been registered against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

5. Recording the above said submission, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the parties except under due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 08.12.2025
KKV