



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27824/2021

Between:

CHILAKAM MADHUSUDHANA REDDY, S/O. LATE C. SHIVARAMI
REDDY, AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, R/O. REGATIPALLI VILLAGE,
DHARRNAVARAMMANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, ANDHRA PRADESH,
MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE INTELLIGENCE, ANDHRA
PRADESH, VIJAYAWADA. KRISHNA DISTRICT.
4. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, ANANTAPURAMU,
ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT.
5. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, DHARMAVARAM URBAN POLICE
STATION, DHARMAVARAM, ANANTAPURAMU DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. E ANJANA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. THE ADVOCATE GENERAL (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with
the following prayer for:

*".... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in
withdrawing the (1 + 1) Personal Security Officers provided to the Petitioner
on 23.11.2021 though the Petitioner continues to face a life threat as being
arbitrary, illegal and high handed and violative of Article 21 of the*

Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to provide the same security of (1 + 1) personal security officers to the petitioners.”

2. Sri E.Anjana Reddy, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that the father of the Petitioner was murdered due to faction disputes and the Petitioner has also been attacked on multiple occasions. Learned counsel would further submit that, though the Petitioner made a representation to Respondent No.2 on 11.09.2020 seeking additional security of (2 + 2) Personal Security Officers, his security of (1 + 1) personal security officers itself was withdrawn without any prior intimation of notice. It is further submitted that, as per the directions of this Court in W.P.No.18544 of 2020 filed by the Petitioner to provide same (1 + 1) personal security officers, the Respondents complied with the same till 23.11.2021 only and have withdrawn the same. Learned counsel would finally submit that, in view of the faction disputes and the life threat to the Petitioner due to political rivalry, security of (1 + 1) Personal Security Officers may be provided, on payment of costs of such security by the Petitioner.

3. Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home reported no objection to grant security of (1 + 1) Personal Security Officers to the Petitioner on payment of costs of such security by the Petitioner.

4. In the light of the incidents occurred in the family of the Petitioner, regarding the murder of his father and the life threats to the Petitioner, the Government has earlier provided (1 + 1) security to the Petitioner. However,

the same was withdrawn. In view of the background of the family of the Petitioner, it is apposite to restore the earlier (1 + 1) security to the Petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions, in view of the murder of the father of the Petitioner and the life threats to the Petitioner due to political rivalry, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the concerned Authorities to provide (1+1) Personal Security Officers to the Petitioner, subject to payment of costs of such security by the Petitioner. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:23.10.2025
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.27824 of 2021

Dt.23.10.2025

Dinesh