

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

WEDNESDAY, THE 22nd DAY OF JULY, 2026

: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

IA No. 1 OF 2026

IN

AS NO: 601 OF 2025

Between:

1. Madhava Rao (Died), (Died) S/o Madanna aged about 50 years, R/o. House No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
2. S. Chinna Nagamma, W/o. Late Madhava Rao, aged about 45 years, R/o. House No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
3. Annepogu Rajasekhar, S/o. Late Madhava Rao, aged about 16 years R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool. (Represented by his Mother and Natural Guardian- Petitioner No.2)

Petitioners/Appellants

AND

1. A. Madhakka, W/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 65 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
2. A. Mahesh, S/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 45 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
3. A. Bramhaiah, S/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 38 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool

...Respondents/Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri K Kedharnath Chowdary

Counsel for the Respondents:---

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be



pleased to grant a temporary injunction restraining the Respondents, their men, agents, or any persons acting on their behalf from alienating, transferring, charging, or creating any third-party interests/encumbrances in respect of the suit schedule property, Pending disposal of AS No.601 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case). The Court made the following

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

It was represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that subsequent to passing of the decree and judgment in OS.No.119 of 2018, the respondents have filed Execution Proceedings and obtained delivery of the property through Court. He further contended that, after obtaining the delivery of the property through process of law, the respondents are again intend to alienate the schedule property to 3rd parties, pending disposal of the Appeal Suit herein.

Considering the averments made by the petitioners in the affidavit and considering the submissions of counsel for petitioners, this Court hereby directs the respondents/plaintiffs not to alienate the schedule property till the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondents.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- J.GAYATRI DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principle District Judge, Kurnool
2. A. Madhakka, W/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 65 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
3. A. Mahesh, S/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 45 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool.
4. A. Bramhaiah, S/o. Late Maddanna, aged about 38 years, R/o. H. No.47-171, Budhawara Peta, Kurnool
5. One CC to Sri K Kedharnath Chowdary, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

VGKR, J

DATED: 22/07/2026

LIST THE MATTER ON 19.08.2026

ORDER

IA NO.1 OF 2026

IN

AS NO: 601 OF 2025

INTERIM DIRECTION

