



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

THURSDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 19990/2015

Between:

1. KANTHETI RAVINDRA BABU,, S/O GOPALA RAO, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, OCCUPATION: SECRETARY, THE TENALI COOPERATIVE URBAN BANK LIMITED, RIO VIJAYA LAKSHMI RESIDENCY, FLAT NO. 102, KAILASHNATH BHAVAN ROAD, CHENCHUPETA

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD.

2. COMMISSIONER FOR COOPERATION REGISTRAR OF, CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, GRUHAKALPA, NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD.

3. THE TENALI COOPERATIVE URBAN BANK LIMITED, REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT, TENALI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

4. MADDI MALLIKARJUNA RAO, ADVOCATE ENQUIRY OFFICER, TENALI CO-OPERATIVE URBAN BANK LIMITED, TENALI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in initiating disciplinary proceedings and appointing the 4th respondent as

Enquiry Officer and proceeding with the enquiry without supplying the documents asked for by the petitioner to enable him to submit his explanation to various charges framed and also without considering the objections raised as to the initiation of disciplinary proceedings in proper perspective as arbitrary, illegal and in violation of well settled principles of natural justice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.GHANTA SRIDHAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR COOPERATION (AP)

2.V V L N SARMA

The Court made the following ORDER:

The writ petition is filed seeking the following main prayer:

“...to issue an appropriate Writ or order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in initiating disciplinary proceedings and appointing the 4th respondent as Enquiry Officer and proceeding with the enquiry without supplying the documents asked for by the petitioner to enable him to submit his explanation to various charges framed and also without considering the objections raised as to the initiation of disciplinary proceedings in proper perspective as arbitrary, illegal and in violation of well settled principles of natural justice...”

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondents.
3. The present writ petition is filed challenging the enquiry proceedings mainly on the ground that the petitioner was not provided with the relevant documents, thereby depriving him of an effective opportunity to represent his case in the enquiry proceedings.
4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondents submits that the enquiry proceedings leveled against the petitioner is completed and subsequently, the petitioner was also retired from service and received all his terminal benefits.
5. Learned counsel for petitioner conceded to the above submission of the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

6. Recording the above submissions, as cause does not survive, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date:05.02.2026

Dvs

166**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM****WRIT PETITION No.19990 of 2015**

Date: 05.02.2026

Dvs