



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3311]

Monday, the fourth day of August two thousand and twenty five

Present

The Honourable Ms. Justice B.S.Bhanumathi

Wri Petition No: 27164 of 2021

Between:

Pothumarthi Tirupathi Rao and others ...Petitioners

and

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others ...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioners:

1.V.N.V.Surya Dattu

Counsel for the respondents:

1.G.P. for Finance & Planning

2.G.P. for Revenue

3.G.P. for Agriculture

4.G.P. for Agriculture (A.P.)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not paying the crop insurance amount in respect of Kariff-2020 to the petitioners in terms of G.O.Ms.No.79, dated 08.10.2020 of the 1st respondent in spite of having insured crop in their respective agricultural lands under Dr.Y.S.R.Free Crop Insurance for crop damage compensation Scheme through E-Panta / Crop registration is illegal, arbitrary and discriminatory besides being violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to pay insurance amounts under Dr.Y.S.R.Free Crop Insurance for crop damage compensation Scheme for Kariff-2020 to the petitioners respectively in terms of the G.O.Ms.No.79, dated 08.10.2020.

2. In continuation of representation made on 23.07.2025, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Agriculture represented that the petition has become infructuous as no further relief can be granted.

3. The learned counsel representing for the petitioners submitted that instructions could not be secured from the petitioner No.2 who was not paid any amount on the ground that bio-metric authentication failed for her.

4. However, the matter has been passed over to get instructions before 4.00 P.M. But, there has been no representation till 4.15 P.M.

5. As such, the writ petition is dismissed as the amount was paid to the petitioners No.1, 3 to 5 and the amount could not be paid to the petitioner No.2 as the bio-metric authentication failed and she became

ineligible for the relief sought and now the scheme is no longer supported as per the representation of the respondents.

6. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

B. S. BHANUMATHI, J

Dt.04.08.2025

PNV