



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

: PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI JITENDRA KUMAR MAHESHWARI
WRIT PETITION NO: 106 OF 2020

Between:

Dasari Devi Bhagvathi, W/o Venkat Rao.

...Petitioner

AND

1. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District
2. The District Collector, East Godavari at Kakinada
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajamahendravaram, East Godavari District
4. The Tahsildar, Gokavaram, East Godavari District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the proposed action of the respondents in changing the nature of the property of an extent of Ac.8.40 cents in Sy.No.82/3A,1B, 14/2A and 13/4A of Thantikonda Village, Gokavaram Mandal, East Godavari District pending a claim petition before the 3rd respondent in LCC No.1335,1336/RJY/1975 by dispossessing the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently directing the respondents not to meddle with the said property in any respect.

IA NO: 1 OF 2020

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to change the nature of the property of an extent of Ac.8.40 cents in Sy.No.82/3A,1B, 14/2A and 13/4A of Thantikonda Village, Gokavaram Mandal, East Godavari District by dispossessing the petitioner in any respect till disposal of claim petition, pending disposal of WP No.106 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and orders of the High Court dated 03-01-2020 & 29-02-2020 and upon hearing the arguments of Sri T V S PRABHAKARA RAO Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP for Revenue for Respondent No.1 & 2, the Court made the following

ORDER

(Through Video Conferencing)

"Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Interim order passed earlier shall remain in operation until further orders.

Immediately on resumption of physical hearing, the case be listed for further orders."

Sd/- R.Karthikeyan
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. One CC to SRI T V S PRABHAKARA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One spare copy

SP

HIGH COURT

HC, J

DATE: 05-10-2020



WP.No.106 of 2020

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER