



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SREENIVASA REDDY**

WRIT PETITION NO: 25392 OF 2013

Between:

1. Madakam Naganna Dora, S/o.Pandu Dora,, Occ:Cultivation,
Caste:Koyadora, R/o. Ramuldevapuram Village, Gangavaram
Mandal, East Godavari District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The Special Deputy Collector T W, Rampachodavaram, E. G.
District.
2. The Tahsildar Gangavaram Agency Mandal, East Godavari
District.
3. The Special Deputy Tahsildar, Gangavaram (Agency) Mandal,
East Godavari District.
4. Kumjam Katanna Dora S/o Gangannadora, R/o.
Ramuldevapuram Village, Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari
District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying
that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the
High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or
direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus
declaring the action of the respondents 2 and 3 in seeking to evict
the petitioner from his land to an extent of Ac.8.22 cents in

S.No.99 situated in Ramuldevapuram Village, Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari District without following due process of law as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and in violation of Art.14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to evict the petitioner from his land mentioned above without following due process of law in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: K VENKATESH

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following ORDER:

The present Writ Petition is filed questioning the action of respondent Nos.2 and 3 in seeking to evict the petitioner from his land to an extent of Ac.8.22 cents, situated in Survey No.99 of Ramuldevapuram Village, Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari District, without following due process of law.

2. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that cause in the Writ Petition does not survive for adjudication and the matter has become infructuous.

3. Recording the said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

K. SREENIVASA REDDY, J.

Date:03.12.2025
Nsr

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SREENIVASA REDDY

Writ Petition No.25392 of 2013

Date:03.12.2025

Nsr