



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 23535/2025

Between:

1.NALLAPANENI ANANTHA PADMA, W/O. NAGESWARARAO.
AGED 75 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEWIFE, R/O.
MOGALRAJAPURANN VIJAYAWADA CITY, NTR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY STAMPS AND REGISTRATION
DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT
- 2.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, VELAPGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT
- 3.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURRU DISTRICT, AT ELURU
- 4.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, NUZVID DIVISION AT
NUZVID, ELURU DISTRICT
- 5.THE TAHSILDAR, , LINGAPALEM MANDALELURU DISTRICT
- 6.THE SUB REGISTRAR, CHINTALAPUDI ELURU DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in
the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court

may be pleased to issue order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in including petitioner's property admeasuring Ac. 4.57 cents in Sy No. 379/2 of Ayyaparajugudem Village, Lingapalem Mandal, Eluru District intentionally ignoring the judgments of Constitutional courts, and not deleting petitioner's property from prohibitory properties list is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the District Collector, Eluru District to delete the petitioner's property from the prohibitory properties list and intimate the same to the registration authorities, in the interest of justice and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.M P V N V SASTRI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.GP FOR REGISTRATION AND STAMPS

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondents.

2. This writ petition was filed questioning the action of the respondents in including the petitioner's property admeasuring Ac.4.57 cents in Sy.No.379/2 of Ayyaparajugudem Village, Lingapalem Mandal, Eluru District intentionally ignoring the judgments of Constitutional courts, and not deleting the petitioner's property from prohibited properties list.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the 5th respondent addressed a letter to the 4th respondent dated 01.02.2024 requesting to delete the subject land from the prohibited list by giving no objection for the same. Thereafter, there is no progress in the matter.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submits that the petitioner has to make an application/ representation to the 3rd respondent so that, he would take appropriate action following the due procedure.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to make a representation to the 3rd respondent enclosing all the supporting documents in support of his claim within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of such representation from the petitioner, the 3rd respondent is directed to

consider and dispose of the same strictly in accordance with law by hearing all the parties concerned including the petitioner giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three (3) months thereafter.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

18.02.2026
NNN