


IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENUTHURUMALLI GOPALA KRISHNA RAO

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

TRCMP NO: 272 OF 2025

Between:

Yadlapalli @ Samudram Bhavya, D/o.Samudram Vitalakshudu, aged 31 years, Hindu, Housewife, R/o.D.No.30-19-15,Vadlapudi RH Colony, Post Office street, Kurmannapalem, Vishakapatnam-530046, Vizag DMC

...Petitioner/Respondent

AND

Yadlapalli Syam Kumar, S/o.Gnaneswara Rao, Aged 34 years, Hindu, IT employee, R/o.D.No.13-4-2, Near Saibaba Temple, Chirala Town and Mandal, Bapatla District.

...Respondent/Petitioner

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri. VURAKARANAM V S SESA KESAV

Counsel for the Respondent: Sri. SUBBA RAO KORRAPATI

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in HMOP 33 of 2024 pending on the file of Senior Civil Judge, at Chirala including appearance of the petitioner pending disposal of the present transfer petition.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the

following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, the petitioner is none other than the wife of the respondent/husband and in view of the matrimonial disputes between both the spouses, the petitioner/wife has been residing separately along with her child at her parents' house at Visakhapatnam.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would further contend that to cause inconvenience to the petitioner/wife, the respondent/husband filed H.M.O.P.No.33 of 2024 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Chirala, under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, seeking for restitution of conjugal rights and the same is pending for adjudication.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would further contend that the petitioner/wife has been residing separately along with her child at her parents' house and depending upon the mercy of her parents at Visakhapatnam and the distance between the Visakhapatnam and Chirala is more than 440Kms, it is very difficult for her to travel to attend the case proceedings which is filed by the respondent/husband herein before the Senior Civil Judge, Chirala, on each and every adjournment without any male assistance and that she is constrained to file the present transfer petition.

Perused the record.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying affidavit, there shall be an Interim Stay of all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner herein i.e., the respondent in H.M.O.P.No.33 of 2024 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Chirala, till 24.09.2025.


Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal

notice to the respondent through Registered Post with Acknowledgment
Due and file proof of service before the Registry.

SD/- T. SRINIVASA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

A

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Senior Civil Judge, at Chirala.
2. Yadlapalli Syam Kumar, S/o.Gnaneswara Rao, Aged 34 years, Hindu,
IT employee, R/o.D.No.13-4-2, Near Saibaba Temple, Chirala Town
and Mandal, Bapatla District. (BY RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri. VURAKARANAM V S SESHAKESAV, Advocate
[OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri. SUBBARAO KORRAPATI, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

JSS

HIGH COURT

VGKR, J

DATED:22/08/2025

POST THE MATTER ON 24.09.2025.

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
TRCMP NO: 272 OF 2025

INTERIM STAY

