



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3504]**

SATURDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 19488 OF 2015**

**Between:**

1. ALAVALA SATYANARAYANA,, S/O. A. CHENCHAIAH, AGED ABOUT  
63 YEARS, OCC: PENSIONER, R/O. H.NO.27-5-278, BALAJI NAGAR,  
NELLORE, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, SECRETARIAT  
BUILDINGS, HYDERABAD

2. THE ANDHRA PRADESH TRANSCO, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND  
MANAGING DIRECTOR, VIDYUT SOUDHA, KHAIRATHABAD,  
HYDERABAD

3. THE ANDHRA PRADESH SOUTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION CO  
LTD, TIRUCHANUR, TIRUPATHI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. V R MACHAVARAM

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. VENKATA RAMA RAO KOTA SC FOR APSPDCL

2. GP FOR ENERGY (AP)

3.JAGARLAMUDIKOTESWARIDEVI(SC FORAPSPDCL)

4.JYOTHI RATNA ANUMOLU JUNIOR STANDING COUNSEL FOR  
APSPDCL

**The Court made the following:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 19488 OF 2015**

**ORDER:-**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

*“...to issue order or orders, direction or directions, Writ or Writs particularly one in the nature Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in fixing the pay scales of the petitioner as per old pay scales instead of the revised pay scales as illegal, arbitrary and highly discriminatory and in violation of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and this Hon'ble Court and consequently direct the respondents to fix and pay the pension as per the revised pay scales under S.O.O (CGM/HRD) Ms.No.60, dated 16-04-2010 from the date of retirement and direct to pay all the arrears of pension from the date of retirement till the date of realization...”*

2. In view of subsequent events taken place in the /is, Mr. V.R.Machavaram, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the cause in this writ petition does not survive.

3. Mr. K. Venkata Rama Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the Respondent is in attendance.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J**

Date: 31.01.2026

KK