



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3558]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

WRIT PETITION NO: 3858/2007

Between:

1.K.PANDURANGA RAO, ZPHS SANGAMUDI, KRISHNA DISTRICT,
S/O.K.VENKATARAMAIAH WORKING AS GR.II PHYSICAL
DIRECTOR ZPHS SANGAMUDI, KRATHAVENNU MANDAL KRISHNA
DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.BHOGADI KOTESWARA RAO KRISHNA DISTRICT 3 OTHERS,
S/O.SUBBA RAO SGBT TEACHER ZPHS MUNJULURU VILLAGE
BANTUMILLI MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT

2.THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER KRISHNA DISTRICT, AT
MACHILIPATNAM

3.THE REGIONAL JOINT DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION KAKINADA, AT
MACHILIPATNAM

4.THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZILLA PARISHAD KRISHNA
DISTRIC, AT MACHILIPATNAM

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of certiorari calling for all the connected records including the impugned judgment in OA.No.1598 of 2001 dated 21.2.2006 and

the judgment in MA.No.1759 of 2006 in Rev.MA(SR).No.8125 of 2006 in OA.No.1598 of 2001 dated 24.1.2007 and quash the same as illegal, improper unjust, arbitrary, and contrary to law and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2007(WPMP 4911 OF 2007

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the judgment in OA.No.1598 of 2001 dated 21.2.2006 and the judgment in MA.No.1759 of 2006 in Rev.MA(SR).No.8125 of 2006 in OA.No.1598 of 2001 dated 24.1.2007 forthwith pending disposal of the writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K RAMAMOHAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR EDUCATION

2.N GURU GOPAL

The Court made the following:**ORDER:** *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Cheekati Manavendranath Roy)*

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in this old writ petition of the year 2007, when the matter is taken up for hearing today.

2. Therefore, it is evident that the petitioner is not evincing interest to pursue this writ petition.

3. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

Date: 23.04.2026
MDP