

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.22591 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	22.08.2025	<u>RNT, J & CGR, J</u> Heard Sri Ghanta Rama Rao, learned Senior Advocate, assisted by Sri Ghanta Srikanth, learned counsel for the petitioners. 2. Sri T.Sanjeeva Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appears for the 3rd respondent. 3. Sri A.S.C.Bose, learned Standing Counsel appears for the 4th respondent-Ongole Municipal Corporation. 4. In the morning session, request was made in this matter being taken up as the lunch motion, citing urgency as there was threat of demolition. 5. Lunch motion was permitted. 6. Sri Ghanta Rama Rao, learned Senior Advocate, appearing for the petitioners submits that pursuant to the order passed in L.G.O.P.No.1 of 2008 by the Principal District Judge, Prakasam at Ongole as the Special Tribunal under the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982 (in short 'the Act, 1982), in E.P.No.37 of 2023, in E.A.No.185	

		of 2025 filed by the present 5th respondent, the order has been passed to grant police aid to the Court Amin for execution of delivery warrant. 7. Challenging the said order, the present writ petition has been filed. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in L.G.O.P.No.1 of 2008 filed by the 5th respondent herein, the petitioners were not the parties. He further submits that the respondents in L.G.O.P.No.1 of 2008 did not contest and the ex parte order was passed. He further submits that the schedule property in the said L.G.O.P was subsequently amended during the execution proceedings without any notice to the petitioners so as to cover the property in possession of the petitioners, whereas the petitioners are also not the parties in the E.P. 9. He further submits that the execution proceedings are not maintainable and are without jurisdiction, as per the amended Rule 15 of the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Rules, 1988 (in short 'Rules of 1988'). In his submission, the mode for giving effect to the orders passed by the Special Tribunal under the Act, 1982 is different and not by way of execution. 10. He further submits that there is immediate threat of demolition and dispossession, for which	
--	--	--	--

		the date i.e. 25.08.2025, has been fixed. 11. Learned counsels appearing for the respondents 3 and 4 pray for time to obtain instructions. 12. Issue notice to the 5th respondent. 13. Post on 28.08.2025. 14. Till then, pursuant to the orders impugned, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners only neither with respect to possession nor for demolition. RNT, J CGR, J Pab	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--