



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3529]

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.C.D.SEKHAR**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4780/2023**

**Between:**

R.vijaya Daniel

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

Shri J Syamala Rao Ias and Others

**...CONTEMNOR(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. G ELISHA

**Counsel for the Contemnor(S):**

1. K V RAGHU VEER

2. RAMA CHANDRA RAO GURRAM

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4782/2023**

**Between:**

B.vani Grace,

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

Shri J Syamala Rao Ias and Others

**...CONTEMNOR(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. G ELISHA

**Counsel for the Contemnor(S):**

1.K V RAGHU VEER

2.RAMA CHANDRA RAO GURRAM

**The Court made the following common order:**

The petitioners herein who had been appointed as Mathematics and English Lecturers in Andhra Christian College, Guntur, had sought regularization by way of appointment as Lecturers in the Departments of Mathematics and English. This request of the petitioners was rejected by the Commissioner and Director of the Collegiate Education by way of orders dated 30.01.2015 and 20.11.2018.

2. Aggrieved by these orders, the petitioners have moved this Court by way of Writ Petition No.10252 of 2019 and Writ Petition No.10253 of 2019. Both the writ petitions came to be dismissed by a learned Single Judge on 08.10.2021.

3. Aggrieved by the dismissal of these writ petitions, the petitioners moved Writ Appeal Nos.864 and 873 of 2021. The Division Bench of this Court allowed the Writ Appeals and consequently the writ petitions are allowed by way of common order, dated 06.01.2023. The prayer in the writ petitions was to set aside the orders of rejection, passed by Commissioner and Director of Collegiate Education and for further direction to the respondents to appoint the petitioners as lecturers in English and Mathematics, in the available aided vacancies and to pay all consequential benefits. As the writ appeals and the writ petitions were allowed, the relief sought by the petitioners, would have to be granted in full and without any conditions.

4. The petitioners, had again approached the respondents for regularization and appointment as lecturers in English and Mathematics with all consequential benefits.

5. As the respondents were not complying with the directions of the Court, the petitioners have approached this Court by way of these two contempt cases.

6. In the course of the hearing in the contempt cases, proceedings dated 09.09.2025 were issued by respondent No.4 absorbing the petitioner in C.C. No.4780 of 2023, as a lecturer in Mathematics at JMJ College (A), Tenali and the petitioner in C.C No.4782 of 2023 as a lecturer in English at JMJ College (A), Tenali, on the ground that there are no vacant aided posts available in Andhra Christian College, Guntur because the College is presently functioning as Aided Institution under Option-IV in view of the policy decision of the Government in terms of GO.Ms.No.42, Education, dated 10.08.2021.

7. The petitioners, after receipt of these orders of regularization, had objected to the said orders of regularization on the ground that the said orders are in violation of the directions of the court. The contention of the petitioners is that the direction to the respondents was to regularize the services of petitioners in Andhra Christian College with all consequential benefits while the present orders were passed appointing the petitioners as lecturers, in a College in another Town and without any consequential benefits.

8. The respondent No.4 was called upon to file an affidavit on the question of whether there are any vacancies available in Andhra Christian College, Guntur. An affidavit, dated 01.11.2015, came to be filed by respondent No.4. In this affidavit, respondent No.4, in paragraph No.8 has stated that the management of Andhra Christian College had surrendered their aided staff along with aided posts and consequently all the aided vacant posts are abolished. It is stated that on account of unavailability of posts of lecturers in Andhra Christian College, Guntur, the petitioners were appointed as lecturers in JMJ College (A), Tenali.

9. The petitioners have filed a Memo bearing USR No.128990 of 2025. G.O.Rt No.180, dated 01.09.2021 and G.O.Rt No.59 dated 22.04.2022 as well as the communications between respondents and correspondent of Andhra Christian College, Guntur is placed before this Court under the said Memo. G.O.Rt No.180 dated 01.09.2021 was issued for abolition of aided teaching staff posts on account of the voluntary surrender of such posts by Andhra Christian College, Guntur. However, G.O.Rt No.59 dt.22.04.2022 states that all the surrendered aided staff posts have been restored, on account of exercise of option No.4 by Andhra Christian College, Guntur as per Circular No.1072635/CE/A1/2021, Higher Education Department, dated 12.11.2021. The Correspondent of Andhra Christian College, Guntur, had addressed a letter, dated 26.10.2022, to the Commissioner of Collegiate Education setting out the details of the posts of grant in Aid and number of posts which remain

vacant. This letter also states that the surrendered posts have not been restored as per the above Circular.

10. It is thus clear that though the statement of respondent No.4 that there are no vacant aided posts in Andhra Christian College, Guntur would appear to be correct on the surface, the fact remains that these posts were restored and necessary steps should have been taken for completion of the restoration of these posts and the petitioners could have been accommodated there. Further, the order of appointment, does not speak about any consequential benefits and the same is not in compliance with the directions of the Court.

11. For all the aforesaid reasons it would be appropriate that respondent No.4 shall be personally present before this Court on next date of hearing.

12. The said appearance shall be physical appearance and not by way of hybrid hearing or in online appearance in as much as there appears to be a *prima facie* case of violation of the directions of this Court.

Post on 18.11.2025.

**R RAGHUNANDAN RAO,J**

**T.C.D.SEKHAR,J**

Dated 11.11.2025  
KA/SSA