



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 31055/2017

Between:

1. RADMAX COMMUNICATION PVT LTD., DELHI, REP BY DIRECTOR
SRI BRAJESH GOEL, OFFICE AT F-24/34, SECOND FLOOR,
SECTOR-7, ROJINI, DELHI - 110085

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP 2 OTHERS, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY SHO, P.S.,
GAJUVAKA, VISHAKHAPATNAM CITY, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

3. THE ICICI BANK LTD, REP. BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, 65, JYOTI
BUILDINGS, 66 CHANDRA BHAVAN NEHRU PLACE, NEW DELHI. -
110019

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in Freezing the Petitioner's account vide A/c. No. 629105041055 of the 3rd respondent bank only on the notice submitted by the 2nd respondent without issuing notice as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of the provisions there under and consequently direct the respondents to un-freeze the account Petitioner

vide A/c. No. 629405041055 by permitting to avail the services with the 3rd respondent Bank and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP 38691 OF 2017)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to un-freeze the account of Petitioner vide A/c. No. 629405041055 by permitting to avail the services with the 3rd respondent Bank pending disposal of the Writ petition before this Hon'ble court, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.KRANTHI SRI VAKA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.G KALYAN CHAKRAVARTHY

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 15.10.2025 and 05.11.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 12.11.2025. Even on that day there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was listed today under the caption "for dismissal".

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

74

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 31055/2017

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD