

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: CrI.A.No.326 OF 2017

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
19.	10.10.2025	<p data-bbox="416 488 735 526"><u>KSR, J & GRKP, J</u></p> <p data-bbox="416 544 1098 582"><i>(per Hon'ble Sri Justice G.Ramakrishna Prasad, J)</i></p> <p data-bbox="515 600 735 638">Heard parties.</p> <p data-bbox="416 674 1233 1070">2. We have perused the affidavit filed by the respondent-prosecution dated 09.10.2025. Having done so, we have thought it appropriate to direct the prosecution to place on record the three reports submitted by the three Committees mentioned in paragraph no.3 of the affidavit dated 09.10.2025, if they are available, on or before the next date.</p> <p data-bbox="416 1106 1233 1921">3. This apart, while going through the affidavits, which have been filed by the prosecution from time to time, we have noticed that the 'Standard Operating Procedure' in so far as videographing of the postmortem examination in the postmortem examination room is concerned, has not been mentioned, or if it had been mentioned, it may have missed our attention. In that view of the matter, learned Public Prosecutor is directed to point out the Standard Operating Procedure in so far as videographing is concerned. If such issue has not been covered, the Forensic Experts and the Principal Secretary, Home Department, are directed to frame Standard Operating Procedure's with</p>	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		<p>regard to compulsory videographing of the postmortem examination and compulsory preservation of such videographs. This would also be in compliance with Section 65-B of Indian Evidence Act/Section 63 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam (BSA), 2023.</p> <p>3. List on 31.10.2025 for further enquiry.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><hr/>K.SURESH REDDY, J</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><hr/>G.RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J</p> <p>RD</p>	

