



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3529]

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.C.D.SEKHAR

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1400/2003

Between:

D.v.Rama Sastry And 24 Others and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

Sri I V Subba Rao And 11 Others and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. K R PRABHAKAR

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. THE ADVOCATE GENERAL (AP)

2. ADDL ADVOCATE GENERAL

3. S PRANATHI

The Court made the following:

I.A.No.1 of 2025

Smt. S. Pranathi, the learned counsel is appearing for proposed respondents 13 and 14. As the proposed respondents are the present incumbents and their presence is necessary for due implication of the orders of this Court, they are impleaded as respondents 13 and 14.

Smt. S. Pranathi, seeks time to obtain instructions and to file counter in this matter.

Sri N. Ravi Chandran, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for Sri Srinivas Bobbili learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners had successfully challenged the attempts of the government to integrate the services of the teachers teaching in government schools with the services of the teachers teaching in local body schools and that the authorities despite the orders of a Division Bench of the erstwhile High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh has affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, declaring that such an integration is not permissible or continuing to promote teachers from schools running by local bodies to various posts which would otherwise stand reserved for teachers teaching in government schools.

Post on 22.09.2025.

R RAGHUNANDAN RAO,J

T.C.D.SEKHAR,J

RJS