



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI**

Bench  
Sr.No:-33  
[3552]

**WRIT APPEAL NO: 913 of 2025**

Gaddamanugu Madhusudhana Rao

...Appellant

Vs.

State of Andhra Pradesh and others

...Respondent(s)

\*\*\*\*\*

Advocate for Appellant: Mr. Sita Ram Chaparla

Advocate(s) for Respondent(s): GP for Revenue, GP for Social Welfare, Mr.  
P. R. K. Amerandra Kumar

**CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR  
SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN**

**DATE : 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2025.**

**P C :**

**I.A.No.2 of 2025**

For the reasons mentioned in the application, this Application is allowed. Leave to prefer the Writ Appeal against the judgment and order, dated 01.07.2025, passed in W.P.No.2689 of 2025, is granted.

**I.A.No.1 of 2025**

Requirement of filing the certified copy of the judgment and order, dated 01.07.2025, passed in W.P.No.2689 of 2025, is dispensed with.

The IA is accordingly, disposed of.

**I.A.No.3 of 2025**

In the present application, the applicant seeks condonation of 1 day delay in preferring the Writ Appeal against the judgment and order, dated 01.07.2025, passed in W.P.No.2689 of 2025.

We have gone through the application as also heard the learned counsel for the parties.

We find that sufficient cause has been shown for getting the delay condoned.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay is condoned.

**WRIT APPEAL NO: 913 of 2025**

The case of the appellant is that even when he had filed an original suit bearing O.S.No.12 of 2010, before the Court of Special Assistant Agent to Government and Revenue Divisional Officer, Jangareddigudem, in which the concerned Presiding Officer had by virtue of the order dated 28.01.2012, passed a detailed order and granted an interim injunction in favour of the plaintiff – appellant herein, yet, the defendant No.4 in the Civil Suit, who was petitioner No.24 in the writ petition, along with other petitioners, by concealing the factum of pendency of the Civil Suit as also the factum regarding passing of the interim injunction, filed Writ Petition bearing W.P.No.2689 of 2025, which was disposed of ex-parte, without impleading the appellant herein as a party respondent, obtained a direction to the official respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners without following due process of law.

It is stated by learned counsel for the appellant that the entire case set up by the petitioners before the learned Single Judge was with a view to somehow project a scenario where the petitioners could be said to be in possession, whereas in fact they were out of possession as had been established by the order of interim injunction passed by the Civil Court.

Notice.

Personal notice on respondents is permitted.

List on 06.01.2026.

In the meantime, operation of the judgment and order impugned shall remain stayed.

**DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, CJ.**

**CHALLA GUNARANJAN, J.**

SSN