

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH::AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No. W.P.No.21975 & 21976 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	17.03.2026	<u>DEV,J</u> Heard both sides to some extent. For proper adjudication of these cases, certain information is required on the following issues. Accordingly, the 2nd respondent shall file an affidavit before this Court on the next date of hearing stating the factual position on the following issues. 1) Whether the representation, dated 17.09.2021 submitted by the petitioners for restructuring of the loan account has been examined in the light of the order, dated 04.09.2021 passed by the coordinate Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.19201 of 2021? 2) Whether the Letter issued by the 2nd respondent vide Lr.No.7867/34/21-22/15, dated 07.12.2021 has been communicated to the writ petitioners under proper acknowledgment or not?	

		3) As stated in the said letter, whether the loan account has already been restructured as per RBI one time MSME restructuring policy on 28.03.2019 or the said account has been rescheduled as contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners? 4) As contended by the learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent-bank if the loan account is already been restructured as per the RBI norms, whether such proposal has been considered by the competent committee or not? The 2nd respondent-bank shall also produce the relevant documents before this Court on the next date of hearing to satisfy the Court that the restructuring of the loan account has been considered in accordance with the relevant policy. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that now the respondent-bank is taking steps for auction of the remaining properties on 23.03.2026. On the other hand, Smt V.Dyumani, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-bank contends that without any proof of documents, the petitioners are	
--	--	---	--

not entitled to make such submission. Besides this, as the proceedings under D.R.T was pending, the petitioners are not entitled for any further relief in the present Writ Petitions.

On consideration of the submissions of the respective counsels appearing on both sides and on perusal of the material available on record, it appears that at the stage of admission of the Writ Petition, this Court by order, dated 01.10.2024 in I.A.No.01 of 2024 ordered interim stay of all further proceedings. But, subsequently, the said interim orders are vacated by the learned Single Judge of this Court vide order, dated 09.12.2025.

When the said order is under challenge in W.A.Nos.1327 of 2025 and 1328 of 2025, a Division Bench of this Court directed the appellants/petitioners to approach the learned Single Judge for consideration of the matter on merits at an early date and also to seek interim directions, if so advised. Accordingly, these Writ Petitions are taken up for hearing.

During the course of hearing of these Writ Petitions, for proper adjudication of the case, this Court intends to look into some aspects. Accordingly, the 2nd respondent is directed to file an additional affidavit as

stated herein above.

Considering the submissions of both the learned counsels, the 2nd respondent-bank is directed to maintain *status-quo* as on today with respect to auction of the properties of the petitioners for a period of three (03) weeks.

Post on 02.04.2026 in Daily List-II.

DEV, J

TM

--	--	--	--