

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**:PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY  
AND**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA**

**WRIT APPEAL NO: 1237 OF 2025**

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, is preferred against the order made in W.P. No.18879 of 2015, dated 23.11.2022, on the file of the High Court)

**Between:**

1. G. Rani, W/o. Late G. Subramanyam Raju, Age- 62 Years, Occ- Cultivation, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
2. G. Ramachandra Raju, S/o. Late G. Nagarayana Raju, Age- 60 years Occ- Pvt. Employee, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
3. G. Girija, W/o. Late G. Venktrama Raju, Age- 60 years Occ- House Wife, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
4. G. Padma, W/o. Late G. Chenchurama Raju, Age- 58 years Occ- Cultivation, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
5. G. Revathi, D/o. Late G. Muralikrishnama Raju, Age- 37 years Occ- House wife, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
6. G. Ammannemma, W/o. Late G. Ramakrishnama Raju, Age- 70 years Occ- Cultivation, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.



7. G. Narendra Varma, S/o. Late G. Ramakrishnama Raju Age- 53 years Occ- Pvt Employee, R/o. Taduku Railway station, A.M. Puram Post, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.

**... Appellants**

**AND**

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, Tirupati, Tirupati District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupati, Tirupati District.
4. The Tahsildar, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District .
5. GuntumaduguSudharsana Varma, S/o. Late Narayana raju, (Died) For L.R.s
6. G. Subbrathnamma, W/o. Late G. Sudharsana Varma, Aged about 85 years, R/o. S.B.R. Puram Village, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
7. K. Vijaya Raju, , D/o. Late G. Sudharsana Varma, Aged about 70 years, R/o. S.B.R. Puram Village, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
8. G. Narayana Raju, S/o. Late G. Sudharsana Varma, Aged about 71 years, R/o. S.B.R. Puram Village, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
9. G. Vinod Varma, S/o. Late G. Sudharsana Varma, Aged about 68 years, R/o. S.B.R. Puram Village, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.
10. G. Ravi Varma, S/o. Late G. Sudharsana Varma, Aged about 67 years, R/o. S.B.R. Puram Village, Vadamalapeta Mandal, Tirupati District.

**... Respondents**

**IA NO: 4 OF 2025:**

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ appeal, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the orders dt:23.11.2022, passed in WP.No.18879 of 2015, pending disposal of WA.No.1237 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court order dated 06.01.2026, 10.02.2026, 19.02.2026, 07.03.2026 & 11.03.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri G.Jagadeeswar, Advocate for the Petitioners and of GP for Revenue for the Respondents No.1 to 4 and of Sri Soora Venkata Sainath, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.6 to 8 & 10, the Court made the following;

**ORDER:**

**“There is a delay of 968 days in filing this appeal. It is stated that as no notice was served in the Writ Petition on the appellants, who are the respondents therein that they are not aware of the writ proceedings and the order passed in it and as such there is a delay of 968 days in filing the Writ Appeal.**

Earlier when the same submission was made, this Court has directed the Registry to verify whether notices were served on the respondents in the Writ Petition or not. It is stated in the order of the learned Single Judge that none appeared for respondent Nos.5 and 6. Therefore, the Registry was directed to verify whether notices were served on the respondent Nos.5 and 6 or not.

A report was filed by the Deputy Registrar, which is also signed by the Section Officer, stating that a part of the bundle could not be traced and in the absence of the proceeding sheet and notice docket that the Registry is unable to verify whether notices were duly served on the respondent Nos.5 and 6 in Writ Petition No.18879 of 2025 or not. But how the part of the bundle is found missing and how the

proceeding sheet and notice docket are found missing is not explained.

Therefore, the Registrar (Judicial) shall conduct an enquiry and take appropriate action against the concerned who is responsible for missing of the said record. Further, he must take steps to trace the record, particularly the proceeding sheet and notice docket, as they are required to ascertain whether notices in the Writ Petition are served on respondent Nos.5 and 6 or not to resolve the controversy involved in this Writ Appeal.

Therefore, for filing of the report of the Registrar (Judicial) to that effect, list the matter on 15.04.2026.

Interim Order granted earlier is extended till then.”

Sd/- U.SRIDEVI  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri G Jagadeeswar Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri Soora Venkata Sainath, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of A.P. [OUT]
4. The Section Officer, Writ D.B. Section, High Court of A.P.
5. The Registrar (Judicial), High Court of A.P., Amaravathi
6. Two spare copies.

TVSR



HIGH COURT

CMR,J

&

GTK,J

DATED: 24/03/2026

LIST THE MATTER ON 15.04.2026

ORDER

WA.No.1237 of 2025



INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED