



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI



FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

HON'BLE JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT APPEAL NO: 918 OF 2025

Writ Appeal under clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 07-07-2025 in W.P.No.16532 of 2025 on the file of High Court.

Between:

Kothuru Govindu, S/o. Venkata Swamy, aged 59 years, Occ: Cultivation,
R/o. Peturu(V), Pedda Upparapalle Post, Somala Mandal, Chittoor District.

...APPELLANT/RESPONDENT

AND

1. Nagasani Nagamani, W/o. Chengal Rayappa, aged 70 years, Occ House Wife, R/o. Peturu(V), Pedda Upparapalle Post, Somala Mandal, Chittoor District.

...RESPONDENT/PETITIONER

2. State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur.
3. Director General of Police, Government of Andhra Pradesh Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
4. Superintendent of Police, Chittoor District at Chittoor.
5. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Palamaneru, Chittoor District.
6. Inspector of Police, Chowdepally Police Station, Chittoor District.

7. Sub Inspector of Police, Somala Police Station Chittoor District.

...RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS

IA NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Judgment dt. 07.07.2025 passed in W.P.No. 16532/2025 pending disposal of the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI SRINIVASULU KURRA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI SUBBA RAO KORRAPATI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 7: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following: JUDGMENT

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI**Bench Sr.No:-17
[3483]**WRIT APPEAL NO: 918 of 2025**

Kothuru Govindu

...Appellant

Vs.

Nagasani Nagamani and others

...Respondents

Advocate for Appellant

:

Mr. Srinivasulu Kurra

Advocate(s) for Respondent(s) :

Mr. Subba Rao Korrapati for R.1

**CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR
SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI****DATE : 22nd August, 2025****P C :**

The present writ appeal has been preferred against the judgment and order, dated 07.07.2025 passed in W.P.No.16532 of 2025.

2. The petitioner (respondent No.1) is a plaintiff in O.S.No.5 of 2011 on the file of the Principal Junior Civil Judge's Court, Punganur, in which the trial Court proceeded to pass an order of injunction which has since been challenged by the appellant herein. However, no specific orders in that case have been issued staying the operation of order of injunction passed by the trial Court.

3. In the meantime, the petitioner filed W.P.No.16532 of 2025 seeking the aid of police to ensure that the order of injunction passed in the civil suit was complied with in letter and spirit. A representation is stated to have been filed by the petitioner on 13.06.2025 with the police agencies.

4. By virtue of the order impugned, the petition was disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to consider the representation and also to ensure that the orders passed by the civil Court are complied with in letter and spirit.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the appellant is a defendant in the suit. It is stated that in the representation filed by the petitioner, apart from the parcel of land which is otherwise the subject matter of dispute and also the subject matter of the order of injunction, land which is not in dispute was also mentioned.

6. The apprehension is that in the guise of consideration of the representation, the petitioner would misuse the police agency to grab even that portion over which she has no right or title and which is not a subject matter of the suit much less the order of injunction passed by the trial Court.

7. On a perusal of the order impugned, it can be seen that there are no specific directions passed by the learned single Judge which would have otherwise given rise to any apprehension to the appellant that land which is otherwise not forming a subject matter of the order of injunction should be

interfered with. In fact, the order impugned clearly states that the authorities should ensure that the order passed by the civil Court is complied with in letter and spirit.

8. Even when we find that the order impugned warrants no interference, yet we clarify that the authorities with a view to ensure implementation of the order passed by the civil Court in letter and spirit would ensure that no land which is otherwise not a subject matter of the suit or the order of injunction should be interfered with.

9. The Writ Appeal is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- M. RAMESH BABU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur.
2. The Director General of Police, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor District at Chittoor.
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Palamaneru, Chittoor District.
5. The Inspector of Police, Chowdepally Police Station, Chittoor District.
6. The Sub Inspector of Police, Somala Police Station Chittoor District.
7. One CC to Sri Srinivasulu Kurra, Advocate [OPUC]
8. One CC to Sri Subba Rao Korrapati, Advocate [OPUC]
9. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
10. Two CD Copies.

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/08/2025

JUDGMENT

WA No. 918 OF 2025



DISPOSING OF THE W.A. WITHOUT COSTS