

APHC010424382008



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3560]

MONDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 896/2008

Between:

1. BOLLA NAVYA, D/O SAMBASIVA RAO, STUDENT R/O OTUKURU VILLAGE, GAMPALAGUDEM MANDAL, KRISHNA DIST. (STUDYING B.TECH. IN NOVA ENGINEERING COLLEGE,

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF A P, THROUGH THE ASST. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, WEST ZONE, VIJAYAWADA CITY, REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF A.P., HYDERABAD.

2. TATENENI DEEPTHI, D/O NARASIMHA RAO, STUDENT R/O Q.NO.C-3, FERTILIZER CITY, RAMAGUNDAM, KARIMNAGAR DIST. (STUDYING B.TECH. IN NIMRA ENGG. COLLEGE, IBRAHIMPATNAM)

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to prefer the CrI.R.C., against the Order dated 12.06.08 passed in CrI.M.P.No.628 of 2008 in Cr.No.477 of 2007 on the file of the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada.

IA NO: 1 OF 2008(CRLRCMP 1223 OF 2008)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Order dated 12.06.08 passed in CrI.M.P.No.628 of 2008 on the

file of the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, dated 12.06.08, pending disposal of the CrI.R.C.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.Y RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 896 of 2008****ORDER:**

In crime No.477 of 2007 for the offence punishable under Section 302 I.P.C. was registered by the concerned Sub-Inspector of Police, Ibrahimpatnam, Andhra Pradesh. During the course of investigation of the crime, the investigating agency intend to prove the case through Narcotic Analysis Test of present petitioner and applications were made before learned jurisdictional Magistrate, that were allowed. Challenging the same order, the instant Criminal Revision Petition has been preferred.

2. The investigation of the police ended in charge sheet vide SC No.34 of 2019.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially, the Sessions Case was ended in Conviction against which, an appeal was preferred before this Court. After hearing the appeal, the order of conviction was set aside by the Division Bench of this Court, the said observation was reported in 2018(1) ALD (CrI.) 469.

4. He placed the citation and Judgment issued by the Honourable Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh, it appears that the entire matter was ended up by Division bench of this Court. Accordingly, the merit of the impugned order passed by the learned court below has no effected. Now

considering the same, the instant Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous.

5. In the result, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous.

Consequently, pending Miscellaneous Applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

Dated:01.12.2025.
KS1

126

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 896/2008

DATED:01.12.2025.

W

KS1/SSA