

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: CrI.R.C.No.957 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
05.	23.10.2025	<u>Dr.YLR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.3 of 2024</u> No representation for the Respondent No.1. Petitioners are brother-in-law and mother-in-law of the de-facto complainant. Brother-in-law is aged about 59 years and the mother-in-law is aged about 75 years. Criminal Revision Case has been filed challenging the order dated 30.05.2024 taking cognizance of the offence punishable under Section 498-A, 494, 506 read with 34 of 'the I.P.C.,' against the Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4. The order dated 30.05.2024 is an intermediate order against which revision is maintainable as per the judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Amar Nath v. State of Haryana¹, Madhu Limaye v. State of Maharashtra², judgment. No reasons, at least brief reasons were assigned by the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned before taking cognizance. The said procedure is against the judgment of the Hon'ble	

¹ (1977) 4 SCC 137

² (1977) 4 SCC 551

		Apex Court in Sunil Bharti Mittal v. CBI³, judgment. The names of the Petitioners were deleted by the Investigating Officer at the time of filing of the chargesheet. The learned Magistrate has taken cognizance of the offence against the Petitioners based on the statement of the L.W.1. For the above reasons, there shall be stay of all further proceedings in respect of the Petitioners in C.C.No.348/2024 on the file of the learned Special Magistrate of First Class for Railways, Nellore. <u>Dr.YLR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.2 of 2024</u> Heard. Dispensed with for the present. <u>Dr.YLR, J</u> <u>Crl.R.C.No.957 of 2024</u> Post after four weeks. <u>Dr.YLR, J</u> VTS	
--	--	---	--

³ (2015) 4 SCC 609