



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 19616/2018

Between:

1.D DOSAPATI SAI PRAKASH, S/O SATYANARAYANA AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O FLAT NO. 301, LIMRA APARTMENTS, ANDHRA PATRIKA COLONY, SINGH NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, THULLURU MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.THE JOINT COLLECTOR, KRISHNA DISTRICT AT MACHILIPATNAM.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declare the inaction of the Respondents in initiating proceedings Under Section 6A of EC Act, even though, 6A petition received on 14-2-2018 is illegal, improper and without any power or authority under law violation of Principles of Natural justice and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to release the seized stocks of 245.25 Qtls of rice of the petitioner without insisting the Bank guarantee pending disposal of 6A Proceedings and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. H V R R SWAMY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES (AP)

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

To declare the action of the respondents in initiating proceedings under Section 6A of Essential Commodities Act, even though 6A petition received on 14.02.2018 as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

2. The writ petition is of the year 2018. On 09.03.2026, it was brought to the notice of this Court that the learned counsel for the petitioner is no more and accordingly, this Court directed the Registry to issue notice to the petitioner.

3. Endorsement of the Registry goes to show that the notice sent to the petitioner returned with an endorsement 'addressee not found'. When the writ petitions are pending consideration, the parties should invariably be in contact with their advocate and pursue their cases. In the present case, the petitioner did not choose to at least take steps to see that the

matter is represented before this Court. This shows that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed for non prosecution.***

There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 08th May, 2026

RKS