

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND  
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2830 OF 2022



Between:

Nakka Ramana Murthy, S/o. Late Chinnappayya, aged about 40 years,  
Occ: Un-Employee (Complaint) R/o H.No.1-98, Jalumuru Boddapadu  
Village, Jalumuru Mandal, Srikakulam District.

...Petitioner/Contemnor

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,  
Panchayathi Raj Rural Development Department, Secretariat,  
Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. Sri Shrikesh B Lathkar, The District Collector, Srikakulam District,  
Srikakulam. (Closed)
3. Mr H V Jairam, The Revenue Divisional Officer Tekkali (RDO)  
Srikakulam District, Srikakulam. (Closed)
4. Sri Jami Eswaramma, The Tahsildar, Jalamuru Mandal, Srikakulam  
District.
5. Mr V.Ravi Kumar, The District Panchayathi Officer, Jalamuru Mandal,  
Srikakulam District. (Closed)
6. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, MGNREGS, Jalamuru  
Mandal, Srikakulam District.
7. The Special Officer, Jalamuru, Boddapadu Village, Jalamuru Mandal,  
Srikakulam District.

...Respondents

(The respondents 1<sup>st</sup> & 6, 7<sup>th</sup> respondents is not necessary parties)

**(Contempt case is closed against RR 2, 3 and 5 as per Court Order dated 23.01.2026, in CC.No.2830 of 2022)**

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through his counsel Sri M.Krishna Rao, praying the High Court to punish the Respondents for willfully, wantonly and deliberately violating the order in W.P.No.10691 of 2021 dated 29.08.2021;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the earlier orders of High Court dated 09.09.2022 & 28.11.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M.Krishna Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Sri P.Subash, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 4 and of Sri Kiran Kumar Vadlamudi, Advocate for Respondent No.5, Court made the following

**ORDER:**

Sri P. Subash, learned counsel appearing for 4<sup>th</sup> respondent, submits that during the tenure of 4<sup>th</sup> respondent as Tahsildar, Jalumuru Mandal, she took steps to implement the order of the Court by issuing notice to the petitioner and addressed a letter to the Station House Officer, Jalumuru, vide letter, dated 20.07.2021. Thereafter, she was transferred from that place. As such, the learned counsel contends that there is no wilful disobedience on the part of 4<sup>th</sup> respondent in implementing the Court order. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to file implead petition to implead the officers who worked there after transfer of 4<sup>th</sup> respondent.

Accordingly, post on 10.04.2026.

Appearance of 4<sup>th</sup> respondent is dispensed with at present.

SD/- P.VINOD KUMAR  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Sri Jami Eswaramma, The Tahsildar, Jalamuru Mandal, Srikakulam District. **(by Speed Post)**
2. One CC to Sri M.Krishna Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri P.Subash, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri Kiran Kumar Vadlamudi, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

PSR

**HIGH COURT**

**DEV,J**

**DATE:27.02.2026.**

**NOTE: post on 10.04.2026.**

**ORDER**

**CC.No.2830 of 2022**

**DISPENSED WITH**

