



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4095/2024

Between:

1.KANCHIRAJU KASINATH, S/O. SEETHARAMAYYA, HINDU MALE, AGE 63 YEARS, R/O. DOOR NO. 4-44/1, MAYA BAZAR, WARD NO. 28, PIDUGURALLA, PALNADU DISTRICT., PRESENTLY RESIDING AT DOOR NO.2RT-148, VIJAYANAGAR COLONY, HYDERABAD-500057.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.B S GIRI KUMAR, S/O. NOT KNOWN, MALE, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS. THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, PIDUGURALLA MUNICIPALITY, PIDUGURALLA, PALNADU DISTRICT..

2.IRUVURI SRINIVASULU, S/O. NOT KNOWN, MALE, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, PIDUGARALLA MUNICIPALITY, PIDUGURALLA, PALNADU DISTRICT. R 2 IS IMPEDED AS PER COURT ORDER DT.07.08.2025. VIDE I.A. NO. 1OF 2025 IN CC NO. 4095 OF 2024.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to punish the Contemnors for his willful disobedience of the order of this Honble Court in W.P.No.33358 of 2023 Dt. 18.04.2024 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to implead the proposed contemnor as the 2nd Contemnor in the present contempt case and in other miscellaneous applications in the interests of the justice and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to dispense with the personal appearance of the 2nd Respondent for further hearings, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MANGENA SREE RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, Standing Counsel For Municipalities

The Court made the following order:

This Contempt Case is filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 18.04.2024 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.33358 of 2023.

2. Heard Sri Mangena Sree Ramarao, learned counsel for the petitioner, Smt Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, learned counsel for respondent No.1 and Sri Posani Venkateswarlu, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

3. Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that pursuant to the filing of the Contempt Case, the authorities have removed the encroachments, and therefore, it amounts to compliance with the order of this Court dated 18.04.2024 in Writ Petition No.33358 of 2023. However, the petitioner apprehends that after closure of the Contempt Case, the authorities may again permit encroachments, accordingly prayed to pass appropriate orders.

4. Since the respondent authorities have removed the encroachments, even according to the petitioner, there is nothing survives for further adjudication and the Contempt Case is liable to be closed. The contention advanced by the petitioner is mere apprehension and such apprehension cannot be taken note of by this Court, as apprehensions alone are not sufficient for adjudication.

6. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any in the Contempt Case, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

08.05.2026

MP

307

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

CONTEMPT CASE NO:4095 of 2024

Date: 08.05.2026

MP