

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

**MAIN CASE No.CONTEMPT CASE No.4095 of 2024
PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE.
13.	19.02.2026	<u>RC,J</u> Ms.Ayesha Azma, learned Advocate Commissioner, filed commission report. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the photographs filed by the commissioner would clearly show that the encroachments were not removed as ordered by this Court while disposing of the writ petition and compound wall is still in existence and therefore, there is deliberate disobedience on the part of the respondents. The respondent no.2 filed counter affidavit stating that all the encroachments adjacent to Peerla Chavadi and property of the petitioner were removed and hence there is no disobedience of the orders of this Court as alleged by the petitioner. Upon perusal of the commission report and the counter affidavit filed by respondent no.2, this Court prima facie finds that the counter affidavit filed does not reflect the true state of affairs appear on ground. Admit against respondent no.2 Post on 19.03.2026. <u>JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI</u> RR	

--	--	--	--