

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4095 OF 2024



Between:

Kanchiraju Kasinath, S/o. Seetharamayya, Hindu, Male, Age 63 years, R/o. Door No.4-44/1, Maya Bazar, Ward No.28, Piduguralla, Palnadu District, Presently residing at Door no.2RT-148, Vijayanagar Colony, Hyderabad-500057.

...Petitioner

AND

1. B.S.Giri Kumar, S/o. Not Known, Male, Aged about 54 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.
2. Iruvuri Srinivasulu, S/o. Not Known, Male, Aged About 50 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.

(R 2 is impled as per Court order dt.07.08.2025. Vide I.A. No. 1of 2025 In CC No. 4095 of 2024.)

...Contemnors/Respondent No.3

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, the above case through his counsel Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, praying the High Court to punish the respondents herein for his willful disobedience of the orders passed in W.P.No.33358 of 2023 dated 18.04.2024;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the orders

of High Court dated 29.10.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Ms. Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, Standing Counsel for Respondents, made the following

ORDER:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the direction given by this Court in W.P.No.33358 of 2023, dated 18.04.2024, the authorities have not removed the compound wall in front of the petitioner’s house in Piduguralla Municipality.

On the other hand, on counter Ms.Vallabhaneni Sireesha Rani, learned Standing Counsel submitted that they have removed the compound wall on 28.07.2025.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner while denying the said contention submitted that the compound wall is still in existence and has shown a photograph of the wall along with the newspaper, dated 05.01.2026 to prove the same.

In these circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner requested to appoint an Advocate Commissioner to find out the veracity in their respective contentions for which learned Standing Counsel reported no objection.

In view of the rival contentions, to resolve the said issue, this Court deems it fit and appropriate to appoint an Advocate Commissioner to find out whether the compound wall in front of the petitioner’s house in Piduguralla Municipality has been removed or not as per the directions of this Court in W.P.No.33358 of 2023, dated 18.04.2024.

Accordingly, Smt Ayesha Azma, learned counsel is appointed as Advocate Commissioner to note down whether the compound wall in front of the petitioner’s house has been removed or not.

The learned Advocate Commissioner shall issue notices to all the parties well in advance regarding the date and time of her visit to the subject property. Her fee is fixed at Rs.30,000/ (Rupees Thirty Thousand

only) tentatively to be payable by petitioner. The Commissioner shall ensure presence of the parties and their counsel at the time of visit, as far as practicable. She shall receive work memos during the inspection and file report answering the said work memos, if any received.

Post on 05.02.2026, for filing reports.

Registry is directed to entrust Commission warrant to the learned Advocate Commissioner as per procedure."

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K.TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. B.S.Giri Kumar, Male, Aged about 54 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.
2. Iruvuri Srinivasulu, Male, Aged About 50 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.
(Addressee Nos. 1 and 2 by **SPEED POST**)
3. The Section Officer, O.S.Section, High Court of A.P., Amaravati.
4. One CC to Smt. Ayesha Azma, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to Ms.Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, Standing Counsel[OPUC]
7. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

RC,J

DATE:22/01/2026

NOTE: POST ON 05.02.2026

ORDER

CC.No.4095 of 2024

DIRECTION



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4095 OF 2024**



Between:

Kanchiraju Kasinath, S/o. Seetharamayya, Hindu, Male, Age 63 years, R/o. Door No.4-44/1, Maya Bazar, Ward No.28, Piduguralla, Palnadu District, Presently residing at Door no.2RT-148, Vijayanagar Colony, Hyderabad-500057.

...Petitioner

AND

1. B.S.Giri Kumar, S/o. Not Known, Male, Aged about 54 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.
2. Iruvuri Srinivasulu, S/o. Not Known, Male, Aged About 50 years, The Municipal Commissioner, Piduguralla Municipality, Piduguralla, Palnadu District.

(R 2 is impleaded as per Court order dt.07.08.2025. Vide I.A. No. 1 of 2025 In CC No. 4095 of 2024.)

...Contemnors/Respondent No.3

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, the above case through his counsel Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, praying the High Court to punish the respondents herein for his willful disobedience of the orders passed in W.P.No.33358 of 2023 dated 18.04.2024;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the orders

of High Court dated 29.10.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Mangena Sree Rama Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Ms. Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, Standing Counsel for Respondents appointed Smt. Ayesha Azma, learned Counsel as Advocate Commissioner to find out whether the compound wall in front of the petitioner's house in Piduguralla Municipality has been removed or not.

Therefore, you namely;

Smt. Ayesha Azma, Advocate.

are hereby appointed as Advocate Commissioner to note down whether the compound wall in front of the petitioner's house has been removed or not.

You are directed to issue notices to all the parties well in advance regarding the date and time of your visit to the subject property.

You are also directed to ensure the presence of the parties and their counsel at the time of visit, as far as practicable and you shall receive work memos during the inspection and file report answering the said work memos, if any received.

You are further informed that your fee for this purpose is fixed at Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) tentatively to be payable by the petitioner.

You are directed to submit your report before this Court on or before 05.02.2026 on which date the case stands posted for hearing.

SD/- K.TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Smt. Ayesha Azma, Advocate [by **SPECIAL MESSENGER-
with a copy of material papers**)
2. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

RC,J

DATE:22/01/2026

NOTE: POST ON 05.02.2026

ORDER

CC.No.4095 of 2024

APPOINTMENT OF ADVOCATE COMMISSIONER

