



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO:21331 of 2025

Between:

1.CHITTIBOYINA SUBBAIAH, S/O. CH.SUBBAIAH GANGANNA PEDDA
SUBBANNA, AGED 51 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. D.NO.16-
64-10, PATHAPALEM S.MYDUKUR, MYDUKUR MANDAL, YSR
KADAPA DISTRICT - 516172.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P.
SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT, KADAPA.

3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, BADVEL REVENUE
DIVISION, BADVEL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

4.THE TAHSILDAR, MYDUKUR MANDAL, MYDUKUR, YSR KADAPA
DISTRICT.

5.CHITTIBOYINA VENKATA SUBBANNA, S/O SUBBANNA, AGED 65
YEARS, R/O. PATHAPALEM, S.MYDUKUR, MYDUKUR POST
MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.OMKAR D

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR REVENUE
- 2.DHEERA KANISHKA

The Court made the following:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“ ...a Writ of Mandamus to declaring the inaction of Respondent Nos.2 to 4 in passing orders under Section 145 Cr.P.C or 164 B.N.S.S restraining all parties including the petitioner and the 5th respondent from entering the subject land admeasuring Ac.0.58 Cents in Sy.No.808/1 of Pathapalem S.Mydukur, Mydukur Mandal YSR Kadapa District, despite the representation dt.04.08.2025 addressed by the petitioner as illegal arbitrary unconstitutional unjust and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India Consequently direct Respondents Nos.2 to 4 to pass appropriate orders under Section 145 Cr.P.C or 164 B.N.S.S with respect to subject land admeasuring Ac.0.58 Cents in Sy.No.808/1 of Pathapalem S Mydukur, Mydukur Mandal YSR Kadapa District and to pass”.

2. Heard Sri D. Omkar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Dheera Kanishka, learned counsel for the unofficial respondent and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a direction may be given to the respondent authorities to look into the representation of the petitioner and take appropriate decision over the matter.
4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner and take appropriate decision over the matter according to governing Rules and Law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:23.10.2025
KNN

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.21331 of 2025

Dt.23.10.2025

KNN