

APHC010413152019



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1286/2019

Between:

1. BELLAMKONDA VENU MANOHAR @ PRABHU MOHAN, S/O SAMBAIAH, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, EVANGELIST, SEKURU VILLAGE, CHEBROLU MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, , REP., BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT AT AMARAVATHI.

2. BELLAMKONDA PAVANI, W/O B. VENU MANOHAR, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, HINDU, HOUSE WIFE, 16-16-303, OLD GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

3. BELLAMKONDA MALLIKA, , D/O B. VENU MANOHAR, MINOR, 16-16-303, OLD GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of CrPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to Criminal Revision Case is being filed by the petitioner against the order dated 30.01.2019 in F.C.O.P.No.143 of 2015 on the file of the Family Court cum XII Additional District Court. Guntur, Guntur District in granting maintenance to the respondent Nos.2 and 3

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 200 days in filing the Criminal Revision Case and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of the order dated 30.01.2019 in F.C.O.P.No.143 of 2015 on the file of the Family Court cum XII Additional District Judge, Guntur, Guntur District pending disposal of the Criminal Revision Case and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MAHADEVA KANTHRIGALA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (AP)

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**I.A.No.01 of 2019****In/And****CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1286/2019****COMMON ORDER:**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner herein is the husband. The present Criminal Revision Case is filed challenging the order dated 30.01.2019 passed in F.C.O.P. No.143 of 2015 on the file of the Family Court-cum-XII Additional District Court, Guntur, whereby maintenance was awarded in favour of respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. By the impugned order dated 30.01.2019, the learned Judge, after conducting due enquiry and upon appreciation of the material on record, awarded maintenance of Rs.3,000/- per month to the wife and Rs.1,500/- per month to the minor daughter from the date of the petition.

4. Aggrieved by the said order, the husband preferred the present revision with a delay of 200 days. An application in I.A. No.01 of 2019 has been filed seeking condonation of the said delay. In the affidavit filed in support of the application, it is stated that due to ill-health, the petitioner could not contact his counsel who appeared before the trial Court and, therefore, the delay occurred.

5. However, no iota of evidence has been placed before this Court to substantiate the plea of ill-health or to put forth satisfactory explain for the inordinate delay of 200 days in preferring this revision. In the absence of any material to show sufficient cause, this Court is not inclined to condone the delay.

6. Be that as it may, a fair look at the material available on record and the impugned order would disclose that the learned Judge has rightly appreciated the evidence and granted maintenance of Rs.3,000/- per month to the wife and Rs.1,500/- per month to the minor daughter. The quantum awarded cannot be said to be excessive or unreasonable warranting interference of this Court in excise of the restricted revisional jurisdiction. This Court does not find any illegality, irregularity, or perversity in the impugned order.

7. Accordingly, I.A. No.01 of 2019 seeking condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, the Criminal Revision Case is rejected. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 20.02.2026
KKV

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

I.A.No.01 of 2019 in/and Crl.R.C.No.1286 /2019

Dated 20.02.2026

KKV

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI**MAIN CASE NO.: CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1286/2019****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	20.02.2026	<u>Dr.VJP,J</u> <u>I.A.No.01 of 2019 in/and CrI.R.C.No.1286 /2019</u> The I.A.No.01 of 2019 is dismissed. Consequently, the Criminal Revision Case is rejected. (vide separate common order) _____ Dr.VJP,J KKV	