



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

MONDAY ,THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 20992/2024

Between:

Uppuluri Jitender and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Ap and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.YASWANTH GADE

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR ROADS BUILDINGS

The Court made the following:

WRIT PETITION NO: 20992/2024

Heard learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that the 4th respondent issued impugned notice/proceedings directing the petitioners to submit an explanation regarding the alleged encroachments of the petitioners for construction of their house, without having any jurisdiction and without there being any authority. He further submits that in the absence of any power or jurisdiction confers upon the authority for issuance of such notice, it cannot be a valid proceeding in the eye of law. The 4th respondent issued the present impugned notice/proceedings without having jurisdiction and without there being any authority, therefore, the impugned notice is liable to be set aside.

On the other hand, learned Government Pleader for the respondents sought time for getting instructions.

List the matter after one(1) week.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, there shall be an interim direction directing both the parties to maintain status-quo obtaining as on today, till the next date of hearing.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

23.09.2024

TPS