

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JANUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3719 OF 2024



Between:

M.Venkata Rao Infra Projects Pvt Ltd., Rep by its Chairman Sri M.Venkata Rao, S/o Veeraraghavayya, aged 84 years, Occ: Business, R/o D.No. 9-19-44, Sita Nivas, CBM Compound, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh - 530003.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Sri C.M.Srikanth Varma, IAS, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Aslimetta Junction, Visakhapatnam.
2. Sri M.M.Nayak, IAS, Commissioner of Labor, No.2, Papayya St, Moghalrajpuram, Sitharamapuram, Vijayawada, NTR District, Andhra Pradesh.
3. Sri Ketan Garg, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation.

(R 3 is impleaded as Suo moto as per court order dated 22.01.2026 in CC No-3719 of 2024)

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through its counsel Sri Bodduluri Madhu, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the orders in W.P.No.33466 of 2012, dated 07.02.2024;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for orders as to admission on this day and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Bodduluri Madhu, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issuance of Notice to the respondent No.3, to show cause as to why in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support thereof, the said case should not be admitted.

The Court further made the following ORDER:

“The above contempt case was filed complaining the violation of the order dated 07.02.2024 in W.P.No.33466 of 2012.

The petitioner filed the above contempt case against two respondents. The 1st respondent is Sri C.M. Srikanth Varma, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation.

Today, when the matter was taken up, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Sri Ketan Garg is the new incumbent in place of Sri C.M.Srikanth Varma.

Since there is a change in the office of the Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, this Court inclined to, suo-moto, implead Sri Ketan Garg, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation as party respondent in the contempt case.

Accordingly, Sri Ketan Garg, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation is impleaded as the 3rd respondent in the above contempt case.

Registry is directed to carryout the necessary amendments in the contempt case as well as in the interlocutory applications, if any.

Issue notice to the 3rd respondent.

List the matter on 19.02.2026.”

You viz:

Sri Ketan Garg, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

be and hereby are directed to show cause, as to why this contempt case should not be admitted, either appearing in person or through Advocate duly instructed on 19.02.2026, to which date the case stands posted for hearing, failing wherein the said case will be heard and determined ex-parte.

Sd/- V.DIWAKAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The District Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.(By RPAD in duplicate along with a copy of petition and affidavit to serve on Respondent No.3 and to return to this Court before 19.02.2026)
2. Sri Ketan Garg, Commissioner, Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.(by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

SRS,J

DATE:22/01/2026

NOTE: LIST THE MATTER ON 19.02.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CC.No.3719 of 2024

