

APHC010410902025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 20961/2025

Between:

1. BURRAMUKKU PAMULU REDDY, S/O (LATE) B KOTI REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, R/O D.NO.3-138/1, KUNCHANAPALLI,
TADEPALLI - 522 501

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY ITS PRI., SECRETARY M.A. AND U.D.
DEPT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI.

2. TADEPALLI AND MANGALAGIRI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP.,
BY ITS COMMISSIONER, TADEPALLI, GUNTRU DISTRICT. - 522 501

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.2 not to lay the road in Ac.0.32.1/2 cts., in R.S.No.19/B of Tadepalli without initiating the land acquisition proceedings declaring its action as violative of Art.,14 and 300A of the Constitution of India besides being in violation of Doctrine of Principles of Natural Justice and A.P. Municipalities Act and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

pleased to direct the Respondent No.2 not to lay the road in Ac.0.32.1/2 cts., in R.S.NO.19/B of Tadepalli maintaining Status Quo pending W.P. and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.JOSYULA BHASKARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

The Court made the following Order:

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the proposal of Respondent No.2 in trying to lay a road in Ac.0.32.1/2 cents in R.S.No.19/B of Tadepalli which belongs to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the respondent No.2 is proceeding with the road construction without issuing any notice to the petitioner or following the procedure prescribed under the Land Acquisition Act.
2. The learned Standing counsel appearing for Respondent No.2, on written instructions, submits that respondent No.2 is not currently undertaking any such activity. Moreover, any further proposal for laying the road shall strictly adhere to the due process of law, including issuing proper notice and complying with the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act before acquiring the petitioner's land.
3. Recording the submission, the writ petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date: 07.08.2025

NKA

144

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Writ Petition No.20961 of 2025

Date: 07.08.2025

NKA