

APHC010410432025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 21182/2025

Between:

1. BOLLU SANDYA RANI, W/O. LATELINGAVARA PRASAD, AGED 60 YEARS, R/O. FLAT NO. 505, SAI ANUHYA ENCLAVE, 7TH LANE, 2ND CROSS, CHANDRA MOULI NAGAR, GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER**AND**

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE RURAL, GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE SUBDIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, TENALI SUB-DIVISION, TENALI TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TENALI RURAL CIRCLE, TENALI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
5. THE SHO AND LO, SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KOLLIPARA PS, KOLLIPARA MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
6. BOLLU YOGANANDA CHATTARJI, S/O. LATE. RAMAIAH AGED 54 YEARS, R/O. PIDAPARTHIPALEM VILLAGE, PIDAPARRU, KOLLIPARA MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
7. BOLLU KRISHNA PRIYA, W/O. BOLLU YOGANANDA CHATTARJI AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, R/O. PIDAPARTHIPALEM VILLAGE, PIDAPARRU, KOLLIPARA MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. T BALASWAMI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“.... a writ order or further orders direction the writ of mandamus in particular by declaring the inaction of the Respondents 2, 3 and 5 in not including the names of the Respondents 6 and 7 in the charge sheet which was numbered as CC No.178/2020 as accused being the Respondents 6 and 7 are the main culprits who instigated the accused in Cr.No.115 of 2022 for their benefit and in spite of the representation dated 26.02.2024 made before the 2nd Respondent through Spandana as illegal arbitrary and against to the procedure of investigation defined in Sec 157 IRC and consequentially direct the Respondents 2 3 and 5 to include the names of Respondents 6 and 7 as accused after causing further investigation as contemplated U/S 173 (8) of CrPC in terms of the complaint of the Petitioner”

2. Heard T.Balaswami, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Md.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. It is a case where the grievance of the petitioner pertains to the police having filed a charge sheet after deleting the names of respondents 6 and 7.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to work out her remedies before the Court where the charge is filed, in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA, J

Date: 13.08.2025

Asr

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.21182 of 2025

Dt.13.08.2025

Asr