



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA  
WRIT PETITION NO: 21050/2025**

**Between:**

1. YERUKALLA REVATHI @ YERUKALAVA REVATHI,, W/O LATE Y VENKATESWARLU, AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS, OCC- UNEMPLOYED, R/O GANDHI NAGAR, VADDIPALLI VILLAGE, CHITTEL MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, AMARAVATI, ANDHRA PRADESH.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, RAYACHOTY, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
3. THE SUBDIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, RAYACHOTY SUB DIVISION, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
4. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE/STATION HOUSE OFFICER, CHITTEL POLICE STATION, CHITTEL TOWN, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
5. DERAGULA RAJESHWARI, W/O D VENKATESHU, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS R/DUDYALA VILLAGE VILLAGE, DACHEPALLI MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
6. YERUKALLA RAMULAMMA, W/O YERUKALLASIDDIAH, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS R/O VADIPALLI VILLAGE, PULLAMPETA MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
7. YERUKALLASIDDIAH, S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS R/O VADIPALLI VILLAGE, PULLAMPETA MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. AYESHA AZMA S

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR HOME

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

*“.... a Writ or order or direction more particularly writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondent police in not disposing the complaint of the petitioner dated 16.12.2024 in accordance with law without conducting any investigation or giving any opportunity to submit evidence and failed to register FIR despite the said complaint or taken any action against the unofficial Respondent no 5 to 7 as illegal arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and also in violation of Article 14 19 21 and 300A of Constitution of India and also in contravention of Apex court Judgment in Lalita Kumari Vs Government of J P 2014 2 see 1 and consequently direct the respondent Police to register the complaint of the petitioner and take appropriate action in the matter in accordance with law”*

2. Heard Ms.Ayesha Azma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Md.Farooq learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home would submit that the PLC is pending before the District Legal Services Authority, Kadapa.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also fairly conceded the same and placed on record the representation submitted to the District Legal Services Authority, which is numbered as PLC.

5. In view of the above, since the PLC is pending for consideration before the said authority, the police need not proceed to register any crime.

6. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to pursue her remedies before the District Legal Services Authority, where her PLC is pending, in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

---

**Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**

**W.P.No.21050 of 2025**

**Dt.14.08.2025**

*Asr*