



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION No. 21088/2025

Between:

BALARAM SISIRA SHETTY, C/O. SISIRA CHANDRA SHETTY, AGED
36 YEARS, R/O FLAT. NO. 401, 3RD FLOOR, SREE VISHNU NILAYAM
PRASHANTHI NAGAR, CHINNAMUSHIVADA, PENDURTHY,
VISAKHAPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH, MANGALAGIRI.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT,
VIJAYANAGARAM.
4. THE SUBDIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, CHEPURUPALLI,
VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, CHEEPURUPALLI POLICE
STATION, VIZIANAGARAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SINGARAYANI SAI AMBIKA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with
the following prayer for:

*".... a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 5th respondent not
filing of charge sheet/closure report Under Section 189 BNSS in Crime.
No. 20 of 2025 dated 16.03.2025 on the file of Cheepurupalli Police Station
U/s.351(2), r/w.3(5) BNS, 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) SC ST POA Act even*

after lapse of five months and even after submitting a representation by the petitioner dated 28.07.2025, without following the procedure as contemplated under law which is highly unwarranted without jurisdiction, highly unjust, illegal and violative of articles 14, 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the 5th respondent to serve the final/closure report to me in Crime.No.20 of 2025 dated 16.03.2025 on the file of Cheepurupalli Police Station U/s.351(2), r/w.3(5) BNS, 3(1) (r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) SC ST (PoA) Act by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 28.07.2025.”

2. Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, would submit that, as there is no *prima facie* case against the Accused, the case in Crime No.20 of 2025 on the file of Cheepurupalli Police Station was referred as “Lack of Evidence” and a notice was also served to the Petitioner informing the same and subsequently final report has also been filed before the concerned Court. Hence, no further orders are required in this matter and the petition may be disposed of.
3. Ms.Singarayani Sai Ambika, learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that, recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.
4. Considering the submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:11.08.2025
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.21088 of 2025

Dt.11.08.2025

Dinesh